

	2009	2008	
140 120)			/
(			)
	1 <sup>-</sup> . 180		( <sup>1-</sup> . 180 160
		..( )	
		1 <sup>-</sup> . 7.0 7.4	
	2 <sup>-</sup> . 680.9 697.3		
%7.6		1 <sup>-</sup> . 7.2 7.5	
			%5.1
1 <sup>-</sup> . 180			
			1 <sup>-</sup> . 8.4 8.3

The Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences 41 (3):46-62,2010 Shati & Aziaadee.

**RESPONSE OF RICE TO SEEDING RATES AND HERBICIDES**

Reasan K. Shati

Saddam H. Abdulraheem .Aziaadee

Dept of Field Crop Sci; Coll. of Agric; Univ of Baghdad

**ABSTRACT**

A field trial was carried out at Mishkab Rice Research Station, Al-Najaf governorate during the summer seasons of 2008 and 2009 to investigate the effects of seeding rates (120,140,160 and 180 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) and herbicides ( control, oxadiazon, propanil and weed-free) on some growth characteristics, yield and its components of Yasamin rice cultivar. The design used was split plot RCBD with arrangement by four replicates. The results indicated that the seeding rate 180 kg . ha<sup>-1</sup> was superior in giving the highest values of grain yield, 7.4 and 7.0 ton.h<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons, respectively, These increases were due to the highest number of tillers.m<sup>-2</sup> ( 697.3 and 680.9 tillers.m<sup>-2</sup>), respectively. Also, this seeding rate gave the highest average of plant height ( 87.9 and 83.5 cm). For herbicide treatment oxadiazon gave highest grain yield, 7.5 and 7.2 ton.h<sup>-1</sup> in both seasons, respectively,as compared with propanil. It also gave lowest percentage ( 7.6% and 7.8%) of fertility. It can be concluded that seeding rate of 180 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup> and using oxadiazon was the that best combination effective control of weeds, and the highest grain yield in both –seasons.

Part of M.Sc .Thesis of the second author.

( *Oryza sativa* L. )

(9)

658 2007  
162  
(16) <sup>1-</sup> . 4.08

(21) %90

%40

.(1)

.(11)

(20) Johnson .(5)

<sup>2-</sup> . 11.0 %25.0  
%49.0  
%79.0 <sup>2-</sup> . 54.0  
<sup>2-</sup> . 269.00  
(29) Norman Slaton

.(26)

%70

.(19)

/

2009 2008

<sup>1-</sup> . 180·160·140·120

2007

2.664

123.363

.( )

328.509

<sup>1-</sup> .

.(2)

30 35  
 .(3) .  
 ( 5× 3)  
 8 -5 . 30 10  
 . 25  
 1- . 10  
 3  
 17 1- . 2008/6/23  
 2008/11/13  
 1- . 180 160 140 120 2009/11/10 2009/6/17  
 1 1- . 72  
 (18 -18)N P  
 130  
 (N%46) 1- .  
 .1

Oxadiazon	Ronstar	[2-tert-butyl-4-(2,4-dichloro-5-isopropoxyphenyl)]
Propanil	Stam- F-34	N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) propanamide

10

( )

<sup>2</sup> 2 :  
 ) =  
 100×( /  
 %14 :<sup>2</sup> .( )  
 1- . 2- 0.3  
 :( 28)  
 / ) = .  
 100× ( 10  
 .( + )



%15.8 15.5

.2009 2008

%

.3

	(1- . )				
	180	160	140	120	
12.0	15.5	14.1	9.5	8.7	
12.2	15.8	13.7	11.3	7.9	
7.6	9.1	7.8	7.6	5.9	Oxadiazon
5.1	5.8	5.7	4.5	4.3	
7.8	9.3	7.8	7.4	6.6	Propanil
6.2	6.8	6.7	6.0	5.2	
5.4	6.3	6.0	5.3	3.9	
5.2	6.3	5.0	4.9	4.8	
0.4				0.7	%5. . .
1.3				1.8	
	10.1	8.9	7.5	6.3	
	8.7	7.8	6.7	5.5	
				0.3	%5. . .
				0.8	

(13) Bond (12) Bond

3

1- . 180

2- . 697.3 680.9

120

636.4 649.5

1-

2- . 673.7 659.7

2- . 586.7 537.7

1- . 180

480.8 489.2

%18.8 %26.6

2-

%40.1 %37.2 %30.0 %32.7

2- . 705.4 706.2

(10)

Awan (7)

2-	685.5				
	1-	120	Mann		
			(15)	Chin (23)	
	2-	411.0		(4)	
		1-	180		
		2-	724.5		
	1-	120		3	
	2-	451.3			
				1-	180
2008		2-		.4	
					.2009

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم هـ <sup>1-</sup> )				معاملات المبيدات المدغلة
	180	160	140	120	
489.2	538.2	541.2	466.3	411.0	
480.8	558.5	458.0	455.5	451.3	
649.5	685.5	665.0	645.0	602.5	Oxadiazon
659.7	714.0	677.7	633.2	613.7	
636.4	683.2	679.7	625.0	557.5	Propanil
673.7	724.5	705.7	638.5	631.5	
706.2	816.5	795.0	633.5	580.0	
705.4	792.2	405.7	673.5	650.0	
22.1				50.53	%5. . .
18.2				35.31	
	680.9	670.2	592.4	537.7	
	697.3	635.4	600.2	586.7	
				27.0	%5. . .
				18.2	

1- . 116.6  
 4  
 113.6 120  
 1- . 107.2 1- . 1- .  
 1- . 118.6 131.0  
 109.2 1- . 180  
 1- .  
 1- . 106.1 119.9  
 %8.2 %7.9  
 %5.9 . %11.8 9.2  
 (8 )

Awan)  
 Chin 23 Mann 10 Compensation  
 (4 15 (Relationship)  
 Zeng  
 (1) (32) Shannon  
 120 ) (10) Awan (7)  
 (1- .  
 1- . 130.5  
 Keisling  
 120 (6) (22)  
 1- .  
 - . 120.4  
 - . 180 1  
 1  
 1- . 100.4 110.9  
 . %20.3 17.6 1- . 126.2 125.7

.2009 2008

. 5

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم. هـ <sup>1-</sup> )				معاملات المبيدات المدغلة	
	180	160	140	120		
116.6	110.9	112.3	116.0	127.3		
107.2	100.4	106.2	107.0	115.5		
125.7	122.1	125.7	125.7	129.2	Oxadiazon	
113.6	108.6	110.4	115.0	120.4		
126.2	120.7	124.0	129.6	130.5	Propanil	
109.2	112.1	103.5	114.6	115.1		
130.3	125.7	128.5	130.0	137.0		
118.2	112.1	117.9	119.9	123.5		
4.7					8.8	%5. . .
5.8					9.7	
	119.9	122.6	125.3	131.0		
	106.1	109.5	113.9	118.6		
					4.4	%5. . .
					4.7	

(8)	(19) Helms				1000
				5	
		1000			1000
			120		
			20.3		1-
(10)	Awan (7)				20.5
(13)	Bond (12)	Bond		1-	180
			20.2	19.9	1000
		1000			
					1000
					%0.5 2.1
					1000
(24)	Miller				
		(6)			
			1000	Gravois	

		1000			
				20.2	20.2
120		1000			19.7
		1-		%2.4	
	20.4	1000			%2.1
	1-	180		(8 )	
19.5	1000				
120					
		1-	Sinpes		1000
	20.5	1000	Chin (10)	Awan (30)	Street
	1-	180			(15)
	19.9	1000			
			(4)		1000

2009 2008

.6

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم. هـ. 1-)				معاملات المبيدات المدغلة	
	180	160	140	120		
19.7	19.5	19.6	19.7	20.0	Oxadiazon	
20.1	19.9	19.9	20.3	20.4		
20.2	20.1	20.1	20.2	20.4	Propanil	
20.4	20.3	20.4	20.3	20.4		
20.2	20.1	20.3	20.3	20.3		
20.4	20.2	20.4	20.5	20.5		
20.2	20.0	20.1	20.1	20.6		
20.4	20.2	20.2	20.4	20.8		
0.1					0.3	%5. . .
0.3					0.4	
	19.9	20.0	20.1	20.3		
	20.2	20.2	20.4	20.5		
					0.1	%5. . .
					0.2	

1- . 8.0 8.1  
1- .  
(6 ) 1- .  
160 140 120  
6.7 1- . 180  
1- . 7.4 7.2 7.0  
1- . 7.0 6.9 6.8 6.6  
) (3 )  
(5 ) 1000 (4  
Ottis %6.6 %10.5  
(15) Chin (27) Talbert  
Mussavi (4)  
(26)  
Gravois  
(1) (18) Helms  
(13) Bond (7)  
(17) Gibson  
(27) Talbert Ottis (14)Caton

1- . 120  
6.9 7.3  
1- .  
1- . 120 6.9 7.2 7.3 7.5  
1- . 4.9 1- .  
1- . 180  
1- . 7.6 7.8 1- . 5.2 5.4  
1- . 5.8

1- 5.6  
(Weed-Free)

1- 120  
1- 6.7 7.1

1- 120  
1- 4.8

1- 180  
1- 7.0 7.3  
1- 180

2009 2008

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم هـ <sup>1</sup> )				معاملات المبيدات المدغلة
	180	160	140	120	
5.4	5.8	5.6	5.3	4.9	Oxadiazon
5.2	5.6	5.3	5.3	4.8	
7.5	7.8	7.5	7.5	7.3	Propanil
7.2	7.3	7.2	7.1	7.1	
7.3	7.6	7.4	7.3	6.9	
6.9	7.0	6.9	6.9	6.7	
8.1	8.4	8.4	8.0	7.7	
8.0	8.3	8.0	7.9	7.8	
0.1				0.2	%5. . .
				0.3	
	7.4	7.2	7.0	6.7	
	7.0	6.9	6.8	6.6	
				0.1	%5. . .
				0.1	

Talbert Ottis (32) Shannon Zeng

7

(27)

120

(8)

%43.9

1-

%42.6

(7)

1-

180

200

%40.1 %41.3

1-

	1-	120				
		%45.4				
1-	120		%43.9			
				%42.0	%43.1	%44.1
		%44.4				
	1-	140	37.3			
%33.7	%37.0					%34.4
	1-	120	45.3			
						%45.2
			(6 )			

(25) Singh Mukherjee

(4)

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم. هـ. 1-)				معاملات المبيدات المدغلة	
	180	160	140	120		
37.3	37.2	37.4	37.0	37.6	Oxadiazon	
34.4	34.2	34.0	33.7	35.7		
43.9	42.0	43.7	45.1	45.0	Propanil	
43.1	42.5	42.5	43.2	44.4		
44.1	42.6	43.9	44.1	45.4		
42.0	41.0	42.0	41.5	43.5		
45.3	43.5	44.0	46.1	47.6		
45.2	43.0	44.0	47.5	46.7		
0.5					1.3	%5. . .
0.8					1.7	
	41.3	42.3	43.1	43.9		
	40.1	40.6	41.4	42.6		
					0.7	%5. . .
					0.9	

(2004)

8

(2001) Gibson

1- 180

2- 61.11 58.17

1- 120

68.28 90.20

31.16 47.40 33.90

2-

2- 35.98

2- 194.96 212.30

%81.60 %84.03 %78.11 %83.71

Mukherjee

(2007) Mann (2005) Singh

(2007) Singh

Awan (2002)

2- 23.59 25.00

120

1- 140

1-

1- 180

2- 200.94 244.20

2009 2008

9

معدل المبيدات	معدلات البذار (كغم هـ <sup>1</sup> )				معاملات المبيدات	
	180	160	140	120		
194.9	190.42	194.0	200.9	224.2	المدغلة	
194.9	190.4	194.0	200.9	194.4		
33.9	25.0	26.6	34.1	49.8	Oxadiazon	
31.1	23.6	30.3	34.3	36.4		
47.4	31.3	34.6	56.8	66.8	Propanil	
35.9	30.4	31.6	39.6	42.2		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
6.2					11.5	%5. . .
5.9					9.4	
	58.1	66.2	79.0	90.2		
	61.1	64.0	68.7	68.2		
				6.6	%5. . .	
				5.4		

.26-18 :(4) 40 .			
. 2000 .	-5		
		%	
/ -			
	.89		
.1998 .	-6		
		(8 )	
Oryza )			
	.( sativa L.		
/ -			
	.98 .		
.2004 .	-7		
		%	
.(Oryza sativa L.)			
-			
.175 .	/		
.1998 .	-8		-1
(Oryza sativa L.)			
		.(Oryza sativa L.)	
.125 .	/	.113 -103 (7) :7 .	
. 1993 .	-9	.2007.	-2
		.3 .	
.469 .	-	.1997 .	-3
10-Awan,I. U, K. Hayat, G. Hassan, M. Kazmi and N. Hussain. 2004. Effect of seeding rates and herbicides on weed dynamics a yield of direct wet-seeded rice. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 10(3-4):119-128. 11-BaltazaL, A. M and R. J. Smith. 1994.Propanil- resistance	(2)		
		.4 . / .	
			-4
		. 2009 .	

- edu/cancelado/Spchapters/  
Johnson Sp. htm
- 21-Julaino,B.O.1993.Rice in human nutrition. FAO Food and Nutrition Series. No.26.Rome.Italy.
- 22-Keisling,T. C. 1995. Emergence date mediation of rice yield responses to equidistant spacing. *Field Crop Search*. 41(3): 167-171.
- 23-Mann, R. A, S.Ahmad, G.Hassan and M.S .Baloch. 2007. Weed management in direct seeded rice crop.Pak.J. Weed Sci.13(3-4):219-226.
- 24-Miller,B.C; J.E. Hill and S.R. Roberts. 1991. Plant population effects on growth and yield in water-seeded rice. *Agron. J*. 83:291–297.
- 25-Mukherjee, D. and R. P. Singh. 2005. Evaluation of herbicides to control weeds in trans planted rice. *Pest Science & Management*. [www.irri.org/publication/irrn/pdfs/vol 130 no2/pest.pdf](http://www.irri.org/publication/irrn/pdfs/vol%20130%20no2/pest.pdf)
- 26-Mussavi,S.H, K.Alamisaeid, G.Fathi, M.H. Gharineh,M.R. Moradi -Telavat and A.Siahpoosh.2009.Optimum rice density and herbicide application in direct seeding in Ahwas region. *Asian.J*.1(1):58-62.
- 27-Ottis, B. V. and R. E. Talbert. 2005. Rice yield components as affected by cultivar and seeding rate. *Agron. J*. 97:1622–1625.
- 28-Singh, I. and N.C.Stoskopf. 1970.Harvest index in cereals. *Agron. J*. 63 : 224-226.
- 29-Slaton, N. and R. Norman. 2006. DD50 Computerized Rice Management Program. *Rice Production Handbook- MP. USA*. 192.
- 30-Snipes,C.E. and J.E.Street. 1987. Rice(*Oryza sativa* L.) tolerance to fenoxaprop. *Weed Sci*. 35:401-406.
- barnyard grass(*Echinochloa crus-galli* L.) control in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) . *Weed Tech*. 8: 576-581.
- 12.Bond,A.J,T.Walker,P.Bollich,C. Koger and P. Gerard.2005.Seeding rates for stale seedbed rice production in the midsouthern United States. *Agron.J*.97:1560–1563.
- 13-Bond, A. J,T. Walker, B. Ottis and D. Harrell. 2008. Rice and nitrogen rate effecte on yield and yield components of two rice cultivars. *Agron. J*.100: 393-397.
- 14-  
Caton,B.P.2002.Simulating seed reseve mobilization and seeding growth of rice in DS rice. *Field Crops Research*. 76:55-69.
- 15- Chin, D. V, T. C. Thien, H. H. Bi and N. T. Nhiem. 2007. Study on weed and weedy rice control by imiazolinone herbicides in clearfield paddy grown by imi-tolerance indica rice variety. *Omonrice* 15: 63-67.
- 16-FAO.2008.Rice Markets Moniter. Roma.Italy.11(2):1-32.
- 17-Gibson,K.D,J.E. Hill,T.C. Foin,B.P. Caton and A.J. Fischer. 2001. Water-seeded rice cultivars differ in ability to interfere with watergrass. *Agron. J*. 93:326–332.
- 18-Gravois,K.A and R.S. Helms.1992.Path analysis of rice yield and yield components as affected by seeding rate. *Agron. J*. 84: 1–4.
- 19-Hill,J.E, R.J. Smith and D.E. Bayer. 2008. Rice weed control: current technology and emerging issues in temperate rice. *Aust. J*.34(7): 1021 – 1029.
- 20- Johnson. D. E. 1996. Weed management in small holder rice production in the tropics. [www.ipmworld.umn.edu](http://www.ipmworld.umn.edu)

32-Zeng, L. and M.C. Shannon.  
2000. Effects of salinity on grain  
yield and yield components of rice  
at different seeding densities.  
Agron.J. 92:418- 423

31-Steel, R.G. and J.H. Torrie.  
1980. Principles and Procedures of  
Statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book  
Company Inc, USA.pp . 485.