366H

UC-NRLF

B 2 841 487

THE STATE OF THE S



# POEM OF MEYSUN; MEYSUN'S CLAIM; ARABIC TRANSLITERATION, &c.

A 21. list 681/541

Digitized for Microsoft Corporation
by the Internet Archive in 2008.
From University of California Libraries.
May be used for non-commercial, personal, research, or educational purposes, or any fair use.
May not be indexed in a commercial service.

## OBSERVATIONS

ON THE SO-CALLED

# POEM OF MEYSUN,

AND ON MEYSŪN'S CLAIM TO THE AUTHORSHIP OF THE POEM.

## WITH AN APPENDIX

ON ARABIC TRANSLITERATION AND PRONUNCIATION.

J. W. REDHOUSE, LL.D., C.M.G., M.R.A.S., ETC.

### LOAN STACK

HERTFORD:
PRINTED BY STEPHEN AUSTIN AND SONS.

366 H

# PREFACE.

As my paper in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society refers its readers to a former page of the volume for Mr. Freeland's text and translation of Meysun's poem, it is necessary to give them here for the benefit of readers who may not have access to the pages of the Journal.

They are as follows:

# قَالَتْ مَيْسُوْنُ بِنْتُ جَنْدَلُ ٱلْفَزَارِيَّةُ

أَحَبُ إِلَى مِنْ لُبُسِ ٱلشُّفُوفِ أَحَبُ إِلَى مِنْ قَضِر مُنِيفِ أَحَبُ إِلَى مِنْ بَغْل زَفُوفِ أَحَبُ إِلَى مِن هِـرِ أَلُوفِ وَأَكْلُ كُسَيرَةٍ فِي كِشر بَيْتٍ أَحَبُ إِلَى مِنْ أَكُل ٱلرَّغِيفِ وَأَصْوَاتُ ٱلرّياحِ بِـكُـلِّ فَجّ أَحَبُّ إِلَى مِنْ نَقْرِ ٱلدُّفُوفِ أَحَبُ إِلَى مِنْ عِلْمِ عَلِيفِ

لَلْبُسُ عَبِآءَةٍ وَتَقَرُّ عَيْنِي وَبَيْتُ تَخْفِقُ ٱلْأَرْوَاحُ فِيهِ وَبَكْرٌ يَتْبُعُ ٱلْأَظْعَانَ صَعْبُ وَكُلْبُ يَنْبُحُ ٱلْأَضْيَافَ دُونِي وَخِرْقُ مِنْ بَنِي عَمِي تَحِيثُ

I give thee all the treacherous brightness Of glittering robes which grace the fair, Then give me back my young heart's lightness And simple vest of Camel's hair. The tent on which free winds are beating Is dearer to the Desert's child Than Palaces and kingly greeting-O bear me to my desert wild! More dear than swift mule softly treading, While gentlest hands his speed control, Are camels rough their lone way threading Where caravans through deserts roll. On couch of silken ease reclining I watch the kitten's sportive play, But feel the while my young heart pining For desert guests and watch-dog's bay. The frugal desert's banquet slender, The simple crust which tents afford, Are dearer than the courtly splendour And sweets which grace a monarch's board. And dearer far the voices pealing From winds which sweep the desert round Than Pomp and Power their pride revealing In noisy timbrel's measur'd sound. Then bear me far from kingly dwelling, From Luxury's cold and pamper'd child, To seek a heart with freedom swelling, A kindred heart in deserts wild.

OBSERVATIONS ON THE VARIOUS TEXTS
AND TRANSLATIONS OF THE SO-CALLED
"SONG OF MEYSŪN"; AN INQUIRY INTO
MEYSŪN'S CLAIM TO ITS AUTHORSHIP;
AND AN APPENDIX ON ARABIC TRANSLITERATION AND PRONUNCIATION.1

By J. W. Redhouse, M.R.A.S., Litt.D., C.M.G., etc., etc.

Dr. Carlyle's book, "Specimens of Arabic Poetry," may be somewhat scarce, and Captain, now Sir R., Burton's

#### CORRIGENDA.

- P. 16, 2nd par., 9th line. For "A.н. 35 (A.D. 655)" read: "A.н. 40 (A.D. 660)."
- P. 20, l. 2. For "the direct line of the great Mu'āwiya thus becoming extinct" read: "the remaining branches of the direct line of the great Mu'āwiya being then infants, the sovereignty passed to collaterals."
- P. 23, first line. For "first and only son" read "only son that left male issue."
- P. 23, ll. 4 and 3 from bottom. For "only son" read as above "only son that left male issue."

# Society, Mr. E. J. W. Gibb, has kindly put my prose trans-

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft @

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  As this paper may be considered illustrative of its author's method of Arabic transliteration, the native names are left precisely as spelt in the MS.; not modified to be in accordance with the orthography usually adopted in the Journal. The author, however, prefers a straight stroke under the letters  $\underline{h} \ \underline{t} \ \underline{s},$  instead of the dot  $(\underline{h} \ \underline{t} \ \underline{s})$  as used throughout this article; see his reasons on p. 41.—Ed.

I give thee all the treacherous brightness
Of glittering robes which grace the fair,
Then give me back my young heart's lightness
And simple vest of Camel's hair.
The tent on which free winds are beating
Is dearer to the Desert's child
Than Palaces and kingly greeting—
O bear me to my desert wild!
More dear than swift mule softly treading,
While gentlest hands his speed control,
Are camels rough their lone way threading
Where caravans through deserts roll.
On couch of silken ease reclining
I watch the kitten's sportive play

OBSERVATIONS ON THE VARIOUS TEXTS AND TRANSLATIONS OF THE SO-CALLED "SONG OF MEYSŪN"; AN INQUIRY INTO MEYSUN'S CLAIM TO ITS AUTHORSHIP; AND AN APPENDIX ON ARABIC TRANS-LITERATION AND PRONUNCIATION.1

By J. W. Redhouse, M.R.A.S., Litt.D., C.M.G., etc., etc.

Dr. Carlyle's book, "Specimens of Arabic Poetry," may be somewhat scarce, and Captain, now Sir R., Burton's "Pilgrimage to El-Medinah and Meccah" gives only an English version, without the Arabic text, of the little piece of poetry attributed to Meysun, the mother of the second Damascus Caliph of the house of 'Umeyva, Yezīd son of Mu'āwiya son of 'Ebū-Sufyān Sakhr son of Harb, etc.

Mr. Freeland has, therefore, rendered a real service to the many lovers of old Arabian literature by printing in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, New Series, Vol. XVIII. p. 90, a complete and fully-vowelled text of the ditty, as this allows them to compare it with the very free rhymed and metrical versions made by himself, by Sir R. Burton, and by Dr. Carlyle. Their talented, but somewhat florid productions disguise altogether the real form and the simplicity of the little poem. I have imagined, therefore, that a closer verbal prose translation of its distichs would not be a disservice to the cause of Arabic studies in England.

A valued and talented friend, one of the Members of our Society, Mr. E. J. W. Gibb, has kindly put my prose trans-

As this paper may be considered illustrative of its author's method of Arabic transliteration, the native names are left precisely as spelt in the MS.; not modified to be in accordance with the orthography usually adopted in the Journal. The author, however, prefers a straight stroke under the letters  $h \not t s$ , instead of the dot  $(h \not t s)$  as used throughout this article; see his reasons on p. 41.—ED.

lation into a metrical form, with the same kind of monotone rhyme that is used in the Arabic original,—a system of versification for which he expresses a great attachment, and in which he has had much experience.

To enable the readers of our Journal to compare these five versions with one another, I here copy those of Dr. Carlyle and Sir R. Burton, while Mr. Freeland's rendering, with his recension of the Arabic text, can be studied at p. 90-91 of the present volume.

Dr. Carlyle says (according to Mr. Clouston's "Arabic Poetry for English Readers"):

"THE SONG OF MAISUNA.

"The russet suit of camel's hair,
With spirits light and eye serene,
Is dearer to my bosom far,
Than all the trappings of a queen.

"The humble tent, and murmuring breeze,
That whistles through its fluttering walls,
My unaspiring fancy please,
Better than towers and splendid halls.

"Th' attendant colts, that bounding fly,
And frolic by the litter's side,
Are dearer in Maisuna's eye,
Than gorgeous mules in all their pride.

"The watch-dog's voice, that bays whene'er
A stranger seeks his master's cot,
Sounds sweeter in Maisuna's ear,
Than yonder trumpet's long-drawn note.

"The rustic youth, unspoiled by art,
Son of my kindred, poor but free,
Will ever to Maisuna's heart,
Be dearer, pampered fool, than thee."

Sir R. Burton's "Pilgrimage," vol. iii. p. 262, has:

"O take these purple robes away,
Give back my cloak of camel's hair,
And bear me from this tow'ring pile
To where the Black Tents flap i' the air.

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft @

The camel's colt with falt'ring tread,
The dog that bays at all but me,
Delight me more than ambling mules—
Than every art of minstrelsy.
And any cousin, poor but free,
Might take me, fatted ass! from thee."

From a comparison of these two versions, it is evident that Dr. Carlyle and Sir R. Burton have used the same Arabic recension, in five distichs, for their renderings, however widely these two differ in form and in words. Sir R. Burton's is less diffuse than that of Dr. Carlyle. The latter uses the expression 'pampered fool' where Sir R. Burton has 'fatted ass.' I had imagined, with only Mr. Freeland's Arabic text to judge from, that these two offensive variants were perhaps either poetic licences, or that the text or texts they had used had the word if a calf,' in the last hemistich, where Mr. Freeland gives the anagram is would have meant, correctly rendered, 'foddered calf,' easily turned by poetic licence into both 'pampered fool' and 'fatted ass.'

My friend Mr. Gibb has, however, latterly favoured me with a copy of Dr. Carlyle's Arabic original, from the second edition of his "Specimens," London, 1810; and this has the same word, Le, given by Mr. Freeland. Neither of their translations has, unfortunately, a trace of either of the two real meanings of the term 5, viz. 1st, 'an ass, wild or domestic, strong and fat; '2nd, 'a man, foreign and non-Muslim' (i.e. an outlandish barbarian). But, as its accompanying adjective, \_\_ile, means, 'home-fed, foddered, not pastured or pasturing,' the two words combined can only indicate 'a fodder-fed domestic ass,' the alternative signification, 'an outlandish barbarian,' being, consequently, out of the question. Neither Dr. Carlyle nor Sir F. Burton is quite right, therefore, in this respect, as Dr. Carlyle's 'pampered fool' and Sir R. Burton's 'fatted ass' are somewhat wide of the original expression, 'a fodder-fed ass.'

I subjoin here Dr. Carlyle's Arabic text for comparison. It gives no vowel-points, and it contains some variants from Mr. Freeland's recension. Omitting the fifth and sixth distichs of the latter for the present, the two texts follow the same order of the verses.

# قالت ميسون بنت بحدل

- للبس عبا وتقرعيني \* احب الى من لبس الشفوف (1)
- وبيت تختفق الارواح فيه \* احب الى من قصر منيف (2)
- و بكريتبع الاظعان صعب \* احب الى من بغل رفوف (3)
- و كلب ينبح النسياف دونى \* احب الى من هنز الدفوف (4) (5,6)
- و خرق من بنى عمى فقير \* احب الى من علج عليف (7)

In 1873, Mr. Gibb has again informed me, Messrs. Henry S. King and Co. published some part of an Arabic work, printed in Egypt, but translated and annotated by a lady, Mrs. Godfrey Clerk, in which the song of Meysūn is given in seven distichs, like that of Mr. Freeland; evidently the same in words, but arranged in a different order. Her translation runs thus:

- 1 (2). A hut that the winds make tremble Is dearer to me than a noble palace;
- 2 (5). And a dish of crumbs on the floor of my home Is dearer to me than a varied feast;
- 3 (6). And the soughing of the breeze through every crevice Is dearer to me than the beating of drums;
- 4 (1). And a camel's wool abâh which gladdens my eye Is dearer to me than filmy robes;
- 5 (4). And a dog barking around my path Is dearer to me than a coaxing cat;
- 6 (3). And a restive young camel, following the litter, Is dearer to me than a pacing mule;
- 7 (7). And a feeble boor from midst my cousinhood, Is dearer to me than a rampant ass.

The numbers in parentheses show Mr. Freeland's order of the distichs, for the sake of comparison. The words of each distich are mainly, essentially, the same in the two versions. Mrs. Clerk's translation is much closer to the Arabic text than either Dr. Carlyle's, or Sir R. Burton's, as a whole; though several words are incorrectly rendered, and many of the ideas incorporated in the lady's imagery are taken from Western life, not redolent of the Desert.

Mrs. Clerk's notice of Meysūn is to the following effect: "Mîsûn, the daughter of Bahdal, was married to Muâwiyah, and he brought her from amongst the wandering Arabs into Damascus. But she sorrowed exceedingly for her people at the remembrance of her home; and one day, whilst he was listening to her, he heard her reciting and saying" the verses given above. "Upon hearing these lines, Muâwiyah exclaimed, 'The daughter of Bahdal was not satisfied until she had likened me to a rampant ass!' And he ordered her to be packed off again to her family in the desert."

In a note, Mrs. Clerk says further: "She had an excellent genius for poetry; and at Muâwiyah's command, took her son Yezid (Muâwiyah's successor) with her into the desert among her own relations, in order to inspire him with poetic sentiments."

A foot-note in Sir R. Burton's "Pilgrimage" informs us as follows: "The British reader will be shocked to hear that by the term 'fatted ass,' the intellectual lady alluded to her husband. The story is, that Muawiyah, overhearing the song, sent back the singer to her cousins and beloved wilds. Maysunah departed, with her son Yezid, and did not return to Damascus till the 'fatted ass' had joined his forefathers."

Dr. Carlyle (in Mr. Clouston's book) gives the same story, but in more reserved terms, ending thus: "As a punishment for her fault, he ordered her to retire from court. Maisuna immediately obeyed, and, taking her infant son Yezid with her, returned to Yemen; nor did she revisit Damaseus till after the death of Mowiah, when Yezid ascended the throne."

Mrs. Clerk writes "Mîsûn" instead of Meysūn. But, with Dr. Carlyle's ميسون, though he transliterates 'Maisuna,' we can see that Mr. Freeland's مَيْسُونُ, transliterated by him 'Maisun,' is the true name, as is confirmed in the Qāmūs lexicon under the root مَيْسُونُ آسَمُ, where it is said: "مَيْسُونُ آسَمُ 'and Meysūn is the name of the daughter of Baḥdel, the mother of Yezīd son of Mu'āwiya.' Sir R. Burton's 'Maysunah' is, therefore, quadruply erroneous, the first vowel being, correctly, the soft e, not the hard a; while the second vowel is long, ū; and the final 'ah' a double interpolation.

Referring now to Mr. Freeland's text, p. 90, any one may observe, even if entirely uninstructed in Arabic, that it presents on the right-hand side of the left-hand column of hemistichs, as he looks at the page, a perpendicular row of the words is seven times repeated, once in each distich. These words simply mean: (were) lovelier to me than . . . This one reiterated expression, then, heads the second clause, the second hemistich, of each verse or distich, without the alteration of a single letter or vowelpoint, and indelibly stamps the type of the whole poem in a most determinate, remarkable manner.

But let the reader next examine the versions of Dr. Carlyle, Sir R. Burton, and Mr. Freeland, comparing them with Mrs. Clerk's rendering. He will perceive that they have all three systematically shunned this sevenfold, characteristic series of words; they have striven to give a variety to what requires uniformity,—they have attempted "to gild refined gold, to paint the lily," and they have merely overlaid naïve Eastern simplicity with a series of Western embellishments.

The somewhat metrical prose translation offered below for further elucidation gives as close an approximation to the sense of the original as I have been able to compass, in about the same number of syllables; and my friend Mr. Gibb's versification which follows it, without losing sight of the Arabic text, will be found, I trust, by our readers, to exhale, like the sweet wood-violet, an aroma as delicately poetic as the very simple ideas, clothed in the artless words of the poem, can well be made to furnish.

#### MEYSUN'S DITTY.

To dress in camlet smock, with tearless, cheerful eye, Were lovelier to me than gauzy webs to wear.

A tent through which the winds should waft their fluttering breeze, Were lovelier to me than sumptuous princely bower.

A wayward camel-colt, behind the litter-train, Were lovelier to me than nimbly-pacing mule.

A dog that bayed the coming guests not yet at hand, Were lovelier to me than fondly-coaxing cat.

To eat a crumblet in a tent's retiring nook

Were lovelier to me than eating breadcake bun.

The hoarse, loud roarings of the winds in every glen, Were lovelier to me than timbrels' clattering pulse.

A generous, slender youth, one of my uncle's sons, Were lovelier to me than any foddered ass.

# Mr. Gibb's versified rendering is as follows:

#### MEYSUN'S DITTY.

To dress in camlet smock with cool and placid eyne, Were liefer far to me than robes of gauze to wear;

A tent, wherethrough the winds in gentle wafts should breathe, Were liefer far to me than palace haught and fair:

A wayward camel-colt behind the litter-train, Were liefer far to me than hinny debonair;

A dog that bayed the guests ere yet they came me nigh, Were liefer far to me than cat with fondling air;

To eat a scantling meal aside within the tent,

Were liefer far to me than feast on dainties rare;

The soughing moan of winds that blow through every glen,

Were liefer far to me than sounding tabors' blare;

A slim but generous youth from 'mong my uncle's sons, Were liefer far to me than foddered ass, I swear.

The reader is now in a position to choose for himself which of the English versions given of this little Arabian song, ballad, or ditty he may think best adapted to convey its real meaning. The variants in Dr. Carlyle's text, as compared with that of Mr. Freeland, of عملة و for مُعَلِقَة , of مُعَلِق for are not of much consequence, the زَفُوف for رفوف, are not of much consequence, the last, وفوف, being probably a printer's error. His هز الدفوف, however, indicates that the sixth line of Mr. Freeland's version was not altogether unknown to some copyist through whom Dr. Carlyle's text was derived, as that distich ends with is a synonym with Dr. Carlyle's نَقْر آللُافُوفِ ; and this last, again, is apparently a clerical substitute for Mr. Freeland's مِرّ, two distichs having been thus erroneously fused into one. The substitution of فقير for غيف accounts for Dr. Carlyle's expression of 'poor,' where Mr. Freeland's text requires a rendering of 'slim,' 'thin,' 'slender,' or the like, though Mr. Freeland's free-and-easy rendering suggests nothing of the kind.

Taking the distichs of Mrs. Clerk's version in the order of Mr. Freeland's text, it may be remarked that she has misunderstood the expression in No. 1 about the eye. It is not the 'abâh' that is to gladden the eye; but it is 'a camlet smock' together with a cool, i.e. an unreddened, uninflamed, 'tearless, cheerful eye,' or, in other words, "a camlet with happiness of mind were lovelier, dearer to me . . . . . " In No. 2, again, it should not be that 'the winds make tremble' the tent; but that the winds flutter as they pass through the tent. In No. 3, 'litter' should be litters, i.e. a train of several or many litters. In No. 4, "around my path" is a thoroughly Western misconception of what really means in front of me, i.e. between them and me, "short of me." In No. 5, "varied feast" is too far from the sense as to both words; the comparison is between a dry, broken little morsel, "a crumblet," and a fresh-baked, soft

cake of bread, usually eaten in Syria, etc., with other food at meals. In No. 6, "crevice" is not right; and in No. 7, the خرق of the text is the very reverse of "a boor," and really means a fine, noble fellow; while "rampant" is wrong altogether, unless we are to read, as is given further on, in Ziyā Pasha's recension, عليف for عليف after

The text given by Ziyā Pasha (then Ziyā Bey) in a three-volume collection of Arabic, Persian, and Turkish poems, to which he gave the name of in it is, is found in vol.ii. p. 442, of the collection. It does not agree in the sequence of the distichs with either of those of Mr. Freeland or Mrs. Clerk, except as to the last, and only important one. This occupies the same place in all three, as also in the versions of Dr. Carlyle and Sir R. Burton. Several variant words occur, too, in the distichs. No vowels are given. In the following copy Mr. Freeland's order of the distichs is again shown in the parentheses.

- لبيت تخفق الاريام منه \* احب الى من قصر منيف (2) 1
- ولبس عباءة وتقرعيني \* احب الى من لبس الشفوف (1) 2
- و اكل كسيرة في كسربيتي \* احب الى من اكل الرغيف (5) 3
- واصوات الرياح بكل فج \* احب الى من نقر الدفوف (6) 4
- وكلب ينبح الطراق دوني \* احب الى من قط الوف (4) 5
- و بكريتبع الاطلال صعب \* احب الى مدن بغل ردوف (3) 6
- وخرق من بني عي نحيف \* احب الي من علج عنيف (7) 7

; ٱلأَرْوَاحُ فِيهِ for الأرياح منه 1, منه ألاَّرُواحُ فِيهِ for إلاَّرُواحُ فِيهِ in 3, اللَّضَيَاف for الطراق , in 5, يَيْتِ for بيتى , and قط for ; فَوْفِ for ردوف for , ٱلأَظْعَانَ for الاطلال , and in 7, the last word, عنيف for عنيف for عنيف.

Of these variants, ٱلأَرْيَالُ and الأَرْيَالُ are both correct and synonymous plurals of أَلَّرِيالُ the nind; فيه means in it, while

signifies from it; in 3, بَيْتِي my tent, is for بَيْتِي of a tent; in 5, الطَّوَّاق the sudden, unexpected droppers-in by night, takes place of الطَّوَّاق the guests; while هُ and مِ عَدِ are synonyms for cat; in 6, الأَضَّاق npl. of الأَضَّاق neans the erect forms, the figures (of men), while الأَضَّاق npl. of اللَّمْتِي a coarse signifies camel-litters in which women sit when travelling; عنيف a spears to be a misprint; and in 7, عنيف a coarse barbarian, a tiresome lout, is a much more apposite converse of مَا مَعْنِيفُ مُعْنِيفُ مُعْنِيفُ should be adopted as the better and probably true reading. The distich could then be rendered:

"A slender, generous youth, one of my uncle's sons, Were lovelier to me than any tiresome lout."

By further considering that, as none of the distichs except this last is composed of two rhyming hemistichs, it would be perhaps better if this distich also were deprived of its rhyme; a critic might feel inclined to prefer Dr. Carlyle's at the end of the first hemistich, and the distich might stand thus in translation:

"A generous youth, though poor, one of my uncle's sons, Were lovelier to me than rude, offensive lout."

But "poor" and "generous," again, are not very usual poetic concomitants; and a word such as شفيت tender-hearted, مخيية considerate, نبيل noble, etc., if met with in any variant recension, would make a better antithesis to عَنِيفِ or مَنِيفِ in the hemistich here treated of.

Of the whole, very artless poem, it may be said that the repetition of آئينا in distich No. 1, and of اَكُنُ in distich No. 5, are doubtless blemishes. The repetition of عَنْ نَامُ and 5, and the virtual repetition of أَوْيَاحُ and 5, and the virtual repetition of

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft @

distichs 2 and 6, are still open to objection, though less so than the former. The variation of the rhyming long vowels, by the use of four letters, ,', and three letters, , is at best a permissible licence in Arabic verse, is not tolerated in Persian or Turkish, and cannot be taken as adding beauty to the poem. The sevenfold repetition may be taken, perhaps, as a very effectual mark of individuality in the composition, which thus stands unparalleled, very likely, and can therefore be held to constitute a kind of beauty not advisable to imitate. We may, therefore, look upon "Meysūn's Poem" as a somewhat faulty, though striking, artless ballad, well adapted to captivate the rude, uncultivated children of the desert and villagers, so as to elicit their applause on being recited. We shall then have said as much in its praise as its subject admits of; and this preference for desert-life shown in its words may, it seems, have given to our own poet, Moore, the germ of the idea from which he evolved his charming little gem of "Fly to the desert, fly with me!" which is as untrue, in reality, as it is specious and captivating to young, ardent minds, unacquainted with the hard lot of incessant toil, frequent starvation, and ceaseless blood-feuds, of which the life of the desert is chiefly made up.

But a much more serious question arises with reference to the supposed authorship of this little Arabic ditty; more especially since it is known that Ziyā Pasha, himself a poet of high standing and research, avows himself ignorant of its composer. In a marginal note he has laconically marked the poem as being "by an author unknown." One would imagine that he must certainly have known that common rumour has for ages attributed it to Meysūn; and he may, therefore, in his judgment, have deliberately and definitively rejected this parentage. Before I had heard of his marginal note, I had myself felt inclined to doubt, urged by considerations of the status and known or probable precedents, respectively, of the dramatis personæ of the little burletta. Did, then, Meysūn

probably compose or even sing these verses? Did Mu'āwiya, on hearing her sing them on a chance occasion, dismiss her summarily from his home—from his mansion of governor, for he was not a sovereign until many years later? His son and successor, Yezīd, from his recorded age of 34 at his accession, must have been born not many years after Mu'āwiya became governor of Damascus for the second caliph 'Umer; and thus, to answer the two foregoing questions with any degree of probability, we must trace the history, not of Mu'āwiya only, but of the rise and early progress of Islām, as follows:

Mu'āwiya's father, 'Ebū-Sufyān Ṣakhr son of Ḥarb son of 'Umeyya (whence the name of the 'Umeyyid dynasty), was born B.H. 57 (A.D. 565), being Muhammed's senior by about five years. He was a rich, travelling merchant, became head and captain of the Qureysh tribe (from a branch of which, the Hāshimids, Muhammed also sprang), and a kind of Doge or Mayor, Sheykh of Mekka. He bravely, sternly opposed Muhammed in the field for some years, but failed, ultimately, of success. When Muhammed, in the eighth year of the emigration (Hijra) from Mekka to Medīna, and sixtieth year of his age, advanced at length with a resistless force, and received the submission of his native place, he was met at some distance from the holy city, first by his own paternal uncle, 'Abbās, slightly his superior in age (who had always been friendly to him, and whose descendants eventually became the 'Abbasid caliphs), and then by his hitherto determined enemy, 'Ebū-Sufyān, aged sixty-five (and whom 'Umer would have killed, had not Muhammed restrained him). These both at that time made their profession of 'Islām, were accepted by Muhammed, and followed him into Mekka. There and then did the wife of 'Ebū-Sufyān, with her two sons, Yezīd (aged thirty-one) and Mu'awiya (aged thirty), also avow their adhesion to 'Islām. Mu'āwiya even professed to have secretly embraced the faith two years earlier, when Muhammed made the truce of Hudeybiyya with the Mekkans. 'Ebū-Sufyān's

Univ Calli - Dignized by Microsoft @

daughter, Muʻāwiya's sister, 'Ummu-Ḥabība Remla, had already been married in that sixth year of the Hijra (A.D. 628), as a widow, to Muḥammed, having, with her first husband, early adopted 'Islām, and with him joined the former emigration to Abyssinia. There he died, and there she was betrothed by proxy to Muḥammed, joining him later at Medīna. Muʻāwiya was therefore Muḥammed's brother-in-law.

'Ebū-Sufyān, with his two sons, Yezīd and Mu'āwiya, as well as 'Abbās, fought for 'Islām under Muḥammed in the battle of Ḥuneyn after the conquest of Mekka, in the same year, A.H. 8 (A.D. 630). They each received from Muḥammed, as a share of the spoil captured through the victory, one hundred camels and forty ounces of silver. 'Ebū-Sufyān was present, later in the same year, at the siege and capture of Tā'if, where he lost an eye by a wound.

Muʻāwiya, the younger of the two sons of 'Ebū-Sufyān, was henceforward employed by Muḥammed as one of his secretaries, scribes, or amanuenses; being one of twenty-three whose names are recorded as having served in that capacity, some more, some less. Zeyd son of Thābit was the most usual scribe, and Muʻāwiya stands next; having served so more than any of the remaining one-and-twenty, although the whole of the first four caliphs, 'Ebū-Bekr, 'Umer, 'Uthmān, and 'Aliyy, are included among them by history.

Muhammed died in a.h. 11 (a.d. 632) at Medīna, and was succeeded by 'Ebū-Bekr, "the Most Faithful," as guide and ruler of young and orphaned 'Islām; spontaneously elected by his peers, the acknowledged leaders of the infant community. He took the title of Khalīfa (substitute; whence our "caliph"). He had first to recall to their faith and allegiance many of the tribes of Arabia. Then, in a.h. 12 (a.d. 633), he sent an army to oppose the Romans in southern trans-Jordanic Syria. He gave the chief command to Yezīd, Mu'āwiya's elder brother, son of 'Ebū-Sufyān. Yezīd re-

peatedly broke the Roman levies opposed to him, and sent rich spoils to Medīna, the "City of the Prophet," where Muhammed was buried; his grave, with those of his three immediate successors, being piously visited to this day. Mu'awiya accompanied his brother Yezīd in this expedition, and never quitted him as long as they both lived. They never returned to Arabia from Syria.

Yezīd's successes paved the way to the further despatch of warriors from Medīna; and these were put under the command of 'Amr, son of 'Āṣi (written also 'Āṣ). In his younger days 'Amr had persecuted Muhammed with insulting jeers and stinging lampoons. He now showed himself a zealous Muslim, a good general, and, later on, a no less skilful diplomatist.

When yet more numerous levies were gradually forwarded from Medīna, coming from all Arabia, 'Amr was directed to draw towards Palestine, while Yezīd advanced on the road towards Damascus, and other captains were sent to different quarters. The chief command was entrusted to 'Ebū-'Ubeyda, son of Jerrāh. Though eminently prudent, he lacked all military dash, celerity, and ability. Success now seemed to favour the Romans, conquest came to a standstill. 'Ebū-Bekr therefore recalled from Babylonia, where he had begun to make himself felt, the redoubtable Khālid, son of Welīd, Muhammed's "Sword of God," to infuse new vigour into the Syrian campaign. In a short time Busrà (Bostra) fell to his arms: and next, Damascus was besieged.

The Roman emperor Heraclius, then holding his court at Antioch, thrice sent a large force to relieve Damascus. Thrice was the siege suspended to go and meet these reliefs. Thrice were the Roman succours defeated in the field, twice near Baalbek, the third time at 'Ejnādeyn (Aiznadin), near Anti-Lebanon. After this, Damascus did not long hold out against the Muslim hosts. But on the very day of its capture, the caliph 'Ebū-Bekr breathed his last at Medīna,

when sixty-three years of age, in A.H. 13 (A.D. 634). He was succeeded by 'Umer, son of Khaṭṭāb, now fifty-three years old. This caliph reappointed 'Ebū-'Ubeyda to the chief command, and Baalbek was taken in A.H. 15 (A.D. 636). Emessa (Ḥimṣ) was next occupied, after Resten and Sheyzer had surrendered.

'Ebū-'Ubeyda now went southwards to meet a fourth Roman army under the emperor's son Constantine, who was at Cæsarea (Cæsarea Philippi, Banyās?) with fifty thousand men. They were met, however, by a still larger force of eighty thousand, under a general named Manuel, who had been further reinforced by sixty thousand Christian Arabians from the trans-Jordan regions, under Jebela, son of 'Eyhem, the last king of the line of Gassān, who later embraced 'Islām, but again apostatized. The two opposing forces met on the banks of the Yermūk river, the Hieromax of classical authors. A battle ensued and lasted several days, the Romans being at length utterly defeated, and Manuel killed in A.H. 15 (Nov. A.D. 636). The victors returned to Damascus.

The caliph 'Uner now ordered the siege of Jerusalem. Yezīd son of 'Ebū-Sufyān led the van from Damascus, and 'Ebū-'Ubeyda followed with the main force. After four months' siege, Jerusalem offered to capitulate on condition that the caliph should come from Medīna to take possession. He did so, and the surrender took place in A.H. 17 (A.D. 638).

Aleppo and Antioch next fell to the Muslim arms that same year, and from this latter city the Roman emperor Heraclius fled to Constantinople.

On the other hand, the caliph 'Umer, after the capture of Jerusalem, ordered 'Amr son of 'Āṣi to proceed to the conquest of Egypt. He first laid siege to Cæsarea, and sent Yezīd son of 'Ebū-Sufyān to attack Tyre, which was given up to him by treachery, as Tripoli of Syria had been delivered to the Muslims before. Constantine now left his

camp, and retreated to Cæsarea Palæstinæ on the sea-coast; but he ultimately fled thence by sea, and this town was surrendered to 'Amr son of 'Āṣi. Other places fell in succession, and the conquest of Syria was completed in the fifth year of the caliph, and latter part of A.H. 17 (A.D. 639). A pestilence now broke out at Emmaus, and spread all over Syria, from which both 'Ebū-'Ubeyda and Yezīd son of 'Ebū-Sufyān died in A.H. 18 (A.D. 640), the latter leaving no issue, and appointing his younger brother Mu'āwiya to succeed him in his command over Damascus and Syria. He had earned the popular name of Yezīd the Good.

In the year A.H. 20 (A.D. 641) the caliph 'Umer made Mū'āwiya son of 'Ebū-Sufyān governor of Syria, as he had been locum tenens until then of his deceased brother. He was then about forty-two years of age, and continued governor for nineteen years during the caliphates of 'Umer, 'Uthmān, and 'Aliyy. He and 'Aliyy at first, and next he and 'Aliyy's eldest son Hasan, were rival caliphs during the last five or six years of that period; but 'Aliyy being murdered at Kūfa in Babylonia in A.H. 35 (A.D. 655), and Hasan resigning soon after, Mu'āwiya was at length universally acknowledged as the sole caliph of 'Islām in A.H. 41 (A.D. 661), being then sixty-three years old.

As Mu'āwiya son of 'Ebū-Sufyān died in A.H. 60 (A.D. 679), when his son and successor Yezīd was thirty four years of age, the latter was, consequently, born in A.H. 26 (A.D. 646); and, as there is no mention made of his having had any brother or sister, his father most probably took Yezīd's mother Meysūn to wife in A.H. 25 (A.D. 645), the year after he was confirmed in his government by the third caliph 'Uthmān. She was, most likely, at that time from twelve to fourteen years of age, and Mu'āwiya was forty-seven.

Mu'āwiya had asked permission of the caliph 'Umer to fit out ships on the coast; but 'Umer was averse from the project. When he was murdered in A.π. 24 (A.D. 644), and 'Uthmān

succeeded him, 'Uthmān gave the required sanction. In A.H. 26 (A.D. 646), then, the very year of his son Yezīd's birth, he sent his first ships to sea, and the firstfruits of the expedition was the capture of Aradus and Cyprus. The defeat of a Roman fleet was the next exploit. Then Crete and Malta were visited, and Rhodes captured. Old Phenician days had returned. Another Roman fleet was beaten on the coast of Asia Minor; every port in those parts was thrown into a state of alarm, and the harbour of Constantinople itself was the scene of naval hostilities. Such was Mu'āwiya at and about the time of Yezīd's birth.

The caliph 'Uthmān now dismissed the conqueror and governor of Egypt, the talented 'Amr, son of 'Āṣi. He appointed in 'Amr's stead his own foster-brother, 'Abdu-'llāh son of Sa'd. Troubles broke out in Medīna itself, ending in the assassination of the caliph in A.H. 35 (A.D. 655), and the proclamation of 'Aliyy, son of 'Ebū-Ṭālib, Muḥammed's cousin-german and son-in-law. He had married the youngest of the prophet's daughters, Fāṭima, and by her was the father of Ḥasan and Ḥuseyn, who were about seven and six years of age, respectively, when Muḥammed and also their mother died in one year. From these five, Muḥammed, Fāṭima, 'Aliyy, Ḥasan, and Ḥuseyn, are descended, through fathers or mothers, all the Seyyids and Sherīfs of 'Islām. The five are known as "the holy mantle family, 'ehlu 'l kisā'."

But Mu'āwiya accused 'Aliyy of being privy to, if not of covertly instigating, the murder of 'Uthmān. He proclaimed himself the avenger of the slaughtered ruler, and his party saluted him as caliph. He called to his councils the talented 'Amr son of 'Āṣi, promising to reinstate him in Egypt. Armies were levied on each side, and in A.H. 37 (A.D. 657) the two conflicting Muslim hosts, 'Aliyy's from Babylonia, and Mu'āwiya's from Syria, came in sight at Ṣiffīn, on the bank of the Euphrates, and fought several severe battles with balanced success. Negociations were set on foot; and it was

arranged that, for the peace of 'Islām and avoidance of further bloodshed, the rivals should defer the question of the caliphate to umpires, and should meanwhile retire, each to his own capital. Mu'āwiya named as his umpire the astute and talented 'Amr son of 'Āṣi, and 'Aliyy another. These met, after some delay, at Dūmetu-'l-Jendel (the biblical Dumah, the modern Jewf, Palgrave's 'Djowf'), on the south border of the Syrian desert. By a stratagem, 'Aliyy was deposed by his own umpire, and 'Amr son of 'Āṣi proclaimed Mu'āwiya to be the sole and rightful caliph.

Each of the rivals, notwithstanding, kept possession of what he held, until, in A.H. 40 (A.D. 660), 'Aliyy was murdered in his own capital. His eldest son Ḥasan, then 36 years old, was set up in his place by his party. Difficulties arising, however, Ḥasan made terms with Muʻāwiya, abdicated, and retired to Medīna with his younger brother Ḥuseyn.

Mu'āwiya thus became at length, in A.H. 41 (A.D. 661), the undisputed caliph of 'Islām. His father 'Ebū-Sufyān had died ten years earlier, in A.H. 31, at the age of eighty-six. His son Yezīd was now fifteen years old. His dynasty, the house of 'Umeyya, the 'Umeyyids, vulgarly denominated by Europeans the Ommiads, ruled for ninety-one years, until A.H. 132 (A.D. 749), when they were exterminated by the descendants of 'Abbās, the 'Abbāsids. They conquered all north Africa, invaded Spain, and pushed on, in the east, to India and China.

Mu'āwiya surrounded himself with men of talent; and under his auspices Roman or Greek science began to be cultivated by the Arabian Muslims, in addition to their own native poetry and the various nascent branches of Muslim theology, philosophy, tradition, law, etc. This is not to be wondered at, when we reflect what numbers of Roman citizens and subjects embraced 'Islām, many of them persons of rank, culture, and learning. Faithful to his promise, Mu'āwiya reinstated immediately the able 'Amr son of 'Āṣi in his

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft (

government of Egypt, where he died and was buried in A.H. 43 (A.D. 663).

Mu'awiya now, A.H. 44 (A.D. 664), made an effort to obtain possession of the capital of the eastern empire of Rome, the city of Constantine the Great. His general was the veteran Sufyān son of 'Abdu-'llāh, who had under his command Mu'awiya's only son Yezīd, aged 18, the younger son of the murdered caliph 'Aliyy, Huseyn, aged 46, and the venerable 'Ebu-'Eyyūb Khālid son of Zeyd, who, forty-four years before, at Medina, had been the host of Muhammed for a month, on his first arrival as a fugitive from Mekka; besides other warriors of renown or promise. Six years were unavailingly consumed in this distant enterprise. 'Ebū-'Eyyūb was one of its victims. His death occurred about the year A.H. 50 (A.D. 670); and a mausoleum was built over his grave in later days, having by its side the cathedral mosque where the Ottoman Sultan-Caliphs now assume the sabre at their ceremonial accession.

Mu'awiya's only son Yezīd, born, as before mentioned, in A.H. 26 (A.D. 646), had married, when only sixteen years of age, in A.H. 42 (A.D. 662), and had become a father the year following, A.H. 43 (A.D. 663), before he went to seek renown at the siege of Constantinople. After his return from thence, when Hasan, the eldest son of the former caliph 'Aliyy, was poisoned at Medīna, as was said, in A.H. 49 (A.D 680), Yezīd, then twenty-three years old, was accused or suspected, perhaps by sectarian or family enemies of his line, of having instigated Hasan's murder by one of the wives of the victim, so as to clear the way to his own succession. But the murdered man left a brother; and they both had several sons. Moreover, Yezīd did not succeed his father until eleven years later, A.H. 60 (A.D. 679), as Mu'āwiya lived to be eighty years old, or more. Yezīd was then thirty-four, reigned but five years, died in A.H. 65 (A.D. 684), and had for his successor his only son, Mu'āwiya II., aged twenty-two. He abdicated after a

reign of only six months, and died soon afterwards, the direct line of the great Mu'āwiya thus becoming extinct, and the sovereignty passing to collaterals.

From this cursory survey we see that history is silent respecting Yezīd son of Muʻāwiya and his mother Meysūn from the time of his birth until his father sent him to the siege of Constantinople when he was eighteen years old, and when Muʻāwiya was an undisputed and mighty monarch. It cannot, therefore, be directly disproved that Meysūn was sent away for singing her song, or that she took her son away with her. It may be remarked that the words said to have been addressed to her on the occasion by Muʻāwiya, "Rejoin thou thy people," do not constitute a full divorce a vinculo matrimonii in 'Islām, but are recallable.

If we suppose that she was dismissed to her own kin when Yezīd was about a year old, he may have been recalled by Mu'āwiya as soon as was deemed necessary for his education. This was, apparently, completed when he was allowed to marry at the age of sixteen, and was sent to the siege of Constantinople when eighteen. If he remained with his mother till he was eight or ten, he may have imbibed poetic notions from her kindred, sufficient to be improved by culture under teachers provided by his father, a man of mark in all the learning of the period. His training by such teachers from that time till he was sixteen, and even eighteen, was ample. He must by then have acquired enough of the vernacular purity of diction and poetic eloquence to warrant the reputation he has left of being an accomplished orator and a talented extemporiser of verse. The Arabian tribesmen, before Muhammed's advent, as they are still, were, many of them, good scribes and first-rate oral poets, whose verses flew far and wide over the whole peninsula, to be repeated at social gatherings even to our day. Almost all the early generals of 'Islam were famed as poets also.

Such being the possibilities, what, on the other hand, are

the probabilities that common sense may educe out of the meagre details that must serve as the premisses to any conclusion as to Meysūn and the poem attributed to her?

We learn, in short, from the historical fragments given above, that 'Ebū-Sufyān, and also his two sons, Yezīd the Good and Muʻāwiya, were men of talent and learning. Verses by the father have come down to us; Yezīd was a successful leader; and Muʻāwiya excelled in all the qualities that go to make up a great ruler. They all three went to Syria after Muḥammed's death, aided in the conquest of that country, and died there. Muʻāwiya, more especially, after he became governor of that province, made himself so beloved or feared that he ultimately became the greatest potentate of his time, as he was also a most generous patron of talent and learning.

Was he, then, the man, the prince, in the early years of his delegated governorship, when he must have been most prudent and circumspect, most careful of all his words and actions, when Meysun was newly united to him, and his only son Yezīd was an infant, when all his powers of body and mind were at their prime, or in their early maturity; was he a man likely to take to himself, in the first place, as his wife, a raw, untutored child of the desert, and was the young lady he selected as his partner likely to have preserved in her mind so lively a preference for the desert life as to long for it in the midst of the splendour with which he doubtlessly surrounded her? Even had she been so, she was a mother as well as a wife and a great princess. Would she, then, be likely to look upon the grand, wise, brave, learned, generous, and generally beloved father of her infant son as a "foddered ass," or, taking Ziyā Pasha's version, as a "coarse barbarian," "outlandish miscreant," or "tiresome lout"? Spoiled, haughty, even enervated, he may have possibly grown in far later years; but at the time of his life in question he must have been in the constant habitual practice

of all the noblest and most considerate punctilios natural to a rising prosperous statesman, trusted by his distant sovereign, loved by and relied on by those around and under him, respected or feared by his neighbours. "Ass," barbarian," boor," clown," lout he certainly could not have been, save in the eyes of a sectarian or personal jealous enemy, and reckless vituperation.

Is there not then, even on these considerations alone, a certain weight, a preponderating importance, to be attached to Ziyā Pasha's marginal remark that the author of the verses attributed to Meysūn is unknown? He most likely was well aware that they commonly pass as the production of the fretting petulancy of Yezīd's talented but untamed mother, Mu'awiya's Bedewiyy wife. But, weighing the crucial expression of the poem, "foddered ass" or "coarse barbarian," as has been done here, Ziyā Pasha may well have concluded in his own mind that the case was "not proven," as far as Meysūn and Mu'awiya are concerned; and thereupon curtly recorded his conviction that the verses, though worth insertion in a miscellany, are the production of some unknown author. The words of the poem would far better suit the case of some recently captured and enslaved desert girl, held as his mistress by some "coarse," unfeeling, well-to-do citizen or petty governor.

A far more improbable detail in the story about the dismissal of Meysūn by Muʻāwiya when he chanced to overhear her singing her ditty, beyond the likelihood, even, that he should apply the offensive expression to himself, is that, on going away from him to join her own kin, she should be allowed by him to take his infant son Yezīd, the sole hope of his line, with her, according to Sir R. Burton, "to her cousins and beloved wilds," as Mrs. Clerk says, "into the desert," but, as Dr. Carlyle more definitely puts it, "to Yemen."

Now Yezīd appears, as has already been stated, to have

been Mu'āwiya's first and only child. He may possibly have had other offspring before his union with Meysūn, and he may have begotten other children from other wives or concubines after her departure, if he really did dismiss her. But history makes no mention whatever of any such; and the first authentic notice of Yezīd in active life, after his birth, that I know of, is the account of his being sent with the fleet and army that fruitlessly besieged Constantinople, after Mu'āwiya had become sole caliph, and when Yezīd was already eighteen years old; for his marriage at the age of sixteen is a mere computation backwards from the age of his son and successor, Mu'āwiya II., at the date of his accession.

Let us now contemplate the complexion of the times at and after Yezīd's birth, in A.H. 26, until his father had become sole caliph, in A.H. 41, and his own marriage the year following, A.H. 42, at the age of sixteen, when he must have been at Damascus with his father.

At the very time of Yezīd's birth, Mu'āwiya was fitting out his first ships and personally conducting his maritime expeditions against Cyprus, the islands of Aradus, Rhodes, Malta, etc.; and it was nine years before the murder of 'Uthmān, in A.H. 35, called Mu'āwiya to declare against 'Aliyy, and to become his competitor for the caliphate. If Yezīd ever was allowed to leave Damascus with his mother, it must have been during a portion or the whole of the last seven or eight of those nine years.

But all the reported facts of Mu'āwiya's life, including his maritime expeditions, besides the character that history has recorded of him for moderation, generosity, perseverance, and astuteness, seem to make it in the highest degree improbable that he should have sent away for so frivolous a cause the mother of his only son; much less that he should have allowed her to take that only son of his into the desert, and least of all to distant Yemen, fifteen hundred miles from Damascus.

The Arabian tribesmen are by no means all of them nomads. The great majority of them, on the contrary, are denizens of villages, towns and cities; agriculturists, manufacturers, tradesmen, merchants, soldiers, sailors, lawyers, professors of science, princes; and most of the members of all these classes are habitual warriors and natural poets. It is hardly possible, therefore, that Mu'awiya, a chieftain among the Qureysh of Mekka, for five or six years an inhabitant of Medīna, a confidant of Muhammed and of 'Ebū-Bekr, successor designate to his own elder brother, Yezīd the Good, and confirmed in the office of Governor-General of Syria by two caliphs, 'Umer and 'Uthman, the patron of poets and of all kinds of learning, the organizer of armies and planner of fleets to be, should, after all these glories were his own, find no other partner in his greatness, no other mother for his anticipated line, than a nomad girl, still so little tamed, still so wildly wedded to desert life and its accompaniments, as to prefer its fancied freedom to the splendours and refinements of a great provincial court, and to the love and society of so eminent a man, so great a prince.

Meysūn, the daughter of Baḥdel the Kelbite, may have been, in reality, every way worthy of her distinguished husband. She may have been, for aught we know, the daughter of a wild nomad chieftain, or even of a Bedewiyy commoner, free or slave, in Damascus. The caliph 'Aliyy, after the death of Fātima, had married the captive Ḥanefiyya girl; and her son by 'Aliyy, Muḥammed son of the Ḥanefiyya, became as celebrated a man of learning and piety as either of his elder half-brothers. So may Meysūn have been, as nothing to the contrary is known, that I am aware of. But Mu'āwiya's character makes it far more likely that she was the beautiful child of a man of mark and cultivation, even if he were from Yemen.

Yemen was then, as it had been for many centuries, and as it continued for centuries afterwards, until ruined by

Univ Calif - Digrized by Microsoft &

European enterprise passing to India and China, Persia, etc., round the Cape of Good Hope, the commercial centre of Arabia, the emporium where the rich products of the East, together with its own native drugs and manufactures, were bartered for such articles as the Roman world, Syria, Egypt, and Africa could bring to its marts. When 'Islam roused the whole of the Arabian tribes, urban and rustic, to the conquest of half the known world, warriors from Yemen, Yemama, and 'Uman, flocked to the standards, as well as the men of the Hijāz, as well as the desert nomads. They were accompanied by their wives, sisters, and daughters, in large numbers, as well as by their sons. History frequently testifies to this; and, as town after town, city after city, was captured from the Romans, garrisons and governors of Arabian blood were placed in them. These last would be the picked men of the nation, more generally townsfolk, men of learning and refinement, as well as brave warriors and subtle negociators. The rank and file would be peasantry; the nomads would generally be skirmishers, and avoid town life.

Colonies and families of the Kelbite race are met with in all parts, as conquest extended. Bahdel, Meysūn's reported father, may have been an officer, a subordinate governor, a merchant, a man of letters, a functionary of the new worship, a professor in a college, etc., as these were quickly founded. He may have been established in Damascus, in any of these capacities, before Mu'awiya returned there as governorgeneral. Meysūn may have been born in Damascus, or brought there an infant by her father and mother; for she married Mu'āwiya twelve years after Damascus was taken. Her mother may have been a Roman or Syrian captive. Girls are married young in those parts, and mothers of twelve and thirteen are not uncommon. Admitting, then, for argument's sake, that Meysūn composed, or only sang, the ditty; admitting that Mu'āwiya overheard her, applied the one offensive word to himself, grew angry in consequence, and

instantly dismissed her to her own people; admitting that she went, then and there, to her own family, her father's kith and kin, taking her infant son with her under Mu'āwiya's sanction, and retaining him until he was of an age to do without her maternal care; it by no means follows that she went herself and took her child to the desert, still less to Yemen. She would most likely go to her father's house in Damascus; and Mu'āwiya could consent to this, as he was now frequently absent in his naval expeditions. The child would still be under the supervision of any one he might appoint. His father could assure himself that Yezīd was duly taken care of, properly tended in sickness (for he was attacked by smallpox at some unknown period of his life), properly schooled when of the requisite age, and recallable to his own guidance when he saw fit, or when danger loomed in the distance, as it did so soon as the tortuous policy of the caliph 'Uthman raised troubles in Egypt first, and then at Medīna, ending in his assassination in A.H. 35 (A.D. 655), when Yezīd was nine years old. Now, if not before, Mu'āwiya would assume the personal supervision of his son's training.

It is true that Muḥammed himself, when a poor orphansuckling after the death of his father, was given to a fostermother of a stranger tribe, with whom he remained two years, until he was weaned; as most of the children of Mekka were so put out to nurse with rustic women of the neighbourhood, trusted by their parents. But the story of Meysūn's being allowed by Muʿāwiya to take Yezīd into the desert or to Yemen from Damascus, so recently captured and occupied, is utterly incredible, as it would always be difficult or impossible to recall him when old enough, and equally impossible to watch over him if allowed to remain longer with his mother. It may, therefore, almost with certainty, be concluded that Ziyū Pasha gave a true and correct judgment when he recorded his marginal note to this little poem: "By an unknown author." According as tastes vary, this

Univ Calli - Digitized by Microsoft @

simple ditty may be pronounced sublime or commonplace, but that it was not indited or sung by Meysūn the mother of Yezīd son of Muʻāwiya, is a moral certainty, though sectarian or dynastic rancour has succeeded in commonly coupling her name with it.

## APPENDIX.

A study of the variously transliterated names connected with the so-called "Poem of Meysūn," coupled with long-continued former tentatives on my part to elaborate a satisfactory system for the rational rendering of Arabic names, words, and sentences in English letters, as far as possible, has revived in me a desire to lay before the Council and Members of the Royal Asiatic Society a tolerably complete view of my present matured system, adapted to an approximatively correct scientific method of writing Arabic in our characters, so to say, as it is pronounced by professed reciters of the Qur'ān, on the basis of the special science called "Ilmu-'t-Tejnīd "Lie," which may be translated Kalophonesis good enunciation."

This definition of the system naturally excludes any consideration of the ever-varying local, provincial modes of pronouncing vernacular Arabic, as practised by nations inhabiting different parts of the extensive regions, from Arabia to Morocco, where that language is the mother-tongue; and still more so, forbids any reference to the variously modified methods of pronouncing Arabic words and names by East Indians, Malays, Persians, Turks, etc. Of Ottoman pronunciation I have fully treated in my Ottoman Grammar recently published by Trübner and Co.

Each one of such provincial dialects of Arabic, and each one of such national adaptations of Arabian words and letters, would require a special treatise for its elucidation in a

scientific manner, of which each would be perfectly capable in the hands of a competent master. But when to these we add the chaotic jumble resulting from attempts to transliterate the whole of these modes of pronunciation by one unvarying system, or by hap-hazard, one is apt to sink down in despair. As well might one essay to write all the languages of Europe with our unmethodical English mode of spelling.

But confusion worse confounded is created by writers who, not able to read the language, and casually visiting one or several of the Arabic-spoken countries, try their skill at portraying in English letters or books, and guided by their untutored ear alone, not only what they fancy the provincial natives utter, but also the vile composts that pass from mouth to mouth among ignorant laquais-de-place, self-styled interpreters or dragomans, of many a nationality; and when to this ear-guidance of their own, they add a medley of correct or erroneous Arabic words or names copied from other writers of some other nationality, the ne plus ultra is reached of puzzling empiricism. Our newspapers and periodicals, even our official correspondence, and our East-Indian compositions, afford ample proof of this, which need not here be further dwelt upon.

It was a singular aberration of a highly-gifted mind, when a rough and ready, though erroneous, rule had been enunciated for such transliterations, viz. "all consonants as in English, and all vowels as in Italian," that a great scholar should arise to teach English university men, and others, to forsake the horizontal straight stroke used over the long vowels of their school and college prosodial exercitations, and bid them adopt the right-to-left-downward sloping "dash" of the French é (e aigu), for the purpose of marking a long Arabic (or other eastern) vowel; informing them at the same time, for sooth, that this is the Italian method. The Italians have no such usage. They never mark their long vowels in any way whatever. What they really do is to

mark with that accent, in dictionaries only, the vowel of that syllable of a word on which the stress falls; and never do they use it in ordinary books or in writing. But, when such vowel is final, with the stress on it, they mark it in print and in writing with a dash sloping down from left to right, the French accent grave; as in facoltà, appiè, cio è, oggidì, verrò, virtù, etc.

It amounts almost to a national sin, to a crime of lèse majesté, when English scholars, men of truly profound learning, condescend, in English publications, to adopt the French method of transliteration; -with its diphthongs, which do not exist in Arabic; with its accent circonflexe, , unknown in English, to mark the Arabic long vowels; with their erroneous o instead of the Italian u; and with their erroneous e in place of the Italian i. There is no vowel o in the whole Arabic language; though the consonant-ended combination , aw or ew (as the word or syllable is hard or soft) is vernacularly uttered by provincials in such a way that unaccustomed foreign ears take it for a vowel o. Still, this is a different thing to the systematic misrepresentation of the Arabic vowel u, which, short or long, is always pure, as in Italian. It must have been originally given to Jewish teachers of Arabic from Spain, whose pronunciation always has been abominable in every respect, to mislead Italian students in the middle ages, and make them sound the dzamma vowel as an o; and it is full time that so pernicious a practice were discontinued, both here and on the continent. The e instead of Italian i, above spoken of, has no basis whatever, except perversely erroneous and general French usage. The "accent circonflexe" of French orthography has a special history of its own, quite different from that of a true long vowel; but, as their writers have chosen to make this accent the sign of an Arabic long vowel, we need not complain, for we are under no compulsion to adopt their unscientific makeshift. To mark an Arabic long vowel in a thoroughly English and scholarlike manner, we have our well-known legitimate, prosodial symbol,  $\bar{}$ , straight and horizontal; though, unfortunately, I know of no technical name for it. How much more English and scholarly, how much better and more correct, to transliterate  $K\bar{a}tib$ , than to pervert this into  $K\hat{a}teb$ , or into  $K\hat{a}tib$ .

As was remarked above, there are no diphthongs whatever in the Arabic language (nor, indeed, truly, in any language). What are erroneously styled Arabic diphthongs, namely, a fet-ha vowel, , followed by a consonantal w, , or y , final to the syllable, are no more diphthongs than are ab, eb, ad, ed, etc. The French, denying that final y is ever a consonant, and having no w in their alphabet, attempt to figure the words قَوْمُ qavl, عَرْمُ yevm, يَوْمُ qayl, يَوْمُ yevm, يَوْمُ beyn, by the monstrosities kaoul, kaïn, iaoum, beïn, etc.

Another Arabic combination of an altogether different nature, but also, quite erroneously, denominated a diphthong by many, is where two vowels, belonging to two successive syllables in a word, are brought by transliteration into forced juxtaposition, through the incorrect elision, owing to our alphabetical deficiency, and lack of equivalents for the two Arabic consonants, hemza =, and 'ayn =. These two letters, = and 5, are as truly and as efficiently consonants, as are all the letters of the Arabic alphabet in their primary values. They both represent, to European ears, a kind of hiatus or gulp where they occur, the hemza being soft, something in the nature of our diæresis or trema, while the & is very harsh and gulpy, totally unknown to us. They are, therefore, true, efficient consonants, though we may be unable to articulate them. They are, both of them, capable of reduplication in the pronunciation and grammar of a derived word, exactly like all other consonants; as in عَفَال tefe"ela, تَفَعَل tefa"ala; and they may be conveniently transliterated by two distinct modifications of our ordinary apostrophe reversed, one turned

to the left, the other to the right. The apostrophe is wanted in transliterating Arabic compound names and clauses, to represent a different incident that befalls some letters \ initial to words. Three signs of elision are therefore used in the present or proposed system, the ordinary apostrophe, ', indicating the omission of an initial letter in pronunciation; the inverted apostrophe turned to the left, ', showing the place of a consonant which we do not possess in our alphabet, the hemza; and the inverted apostrophe turned to the right, ', to represent the harsh consonant 'ayn, which also our alphabet does not contain. All three are perfectly distinct; each represents a distinct Arabic letter; and retransliteration back into Arabic characters is kept free from all doubt. For, any system of transliteration, to be considered perfect, must represent unmistakably to the eye of the scholar the original letters, while it enables a reader who is unacquainted with the written characters of the original text, to read the words with a fair approximation to correctness, with a little practice. It is a lamentable error, propagated in our Hebrew grammars to the effect that the Hebrew equivalents to the Arabic s and ç, namely & and y, have no value, and go for nothing, etc. ordinary books and newspapers, the apostrophe might be used for all three purposes; though the two inverted forms of it would always be preferable, in their respective places.

As is well known, the three Arabic short vowels are usually omitted in ordinary print and handwriting, having to be supplied in reading and speaking by the person who reads or speaks. He must know what vowels are omitted, or he cannot read; and of course he cannot converse unless he knows the vowels of his words. But in books used by learners, and in copies of the Qur'ān, etc., the vowels are marked, in whole or in part. They are called collectively hareka have 'movement, motion'; by us, "vowel-points." The three are, respectively, named fet-ha hesra have, which names mean, in their order, and dzamma have have been supplied in reading to be supplied to be supplied in reading to be supp

"opening," a "breaking," a "folding"; and their marks are the following: ', '. Their values are, respectively, a or e, i, u, as is more fully explained further on; thus:

mutaharrik, "mutaharrik, "mut

The three Arabic "long" vowels that correspond with the three "short" ones mentioned above are the three letters (consonants in all other circumstances): الرقع , , then called "letters of prolongation," hurūfu 'l-medd عُرُونُ (sing., harfu 'l-medd مُرُونُ أَلْمَةُ (sing.). They are also said to be "prolonged," memdūda وَالْمَةُ اللَّهُ مُعَدُّونَ ; thus, long l is called 'elif memdūda is vāw memdūda, عَمَدُونَ ; and long is vāw memdūda, عَمَدُونَ أَلْفَ مَعَدُونَ أَلْفَ مَعَدُونَ أَلْفَ مَعَدُونَ أَلْ اللَّهُ ال

Whenever letter 1 is a consonant, it is usually marked in writing by a hemza sign being placed over it,  $\hat{1}$ . It is then named hemza-'elif, hemz'-'elif, or simply, for brevity, hemza. Consonantal 'elif is really one and the same thing with hemza, and in certain cases is written as hemza alone, without a letter 1 as its support, as in  $\hat{1} = \hat{j} = \hat{j}$ 

But this consonantal i, this hemza, is capable, like every other consonant, of taking every one of the three vowels, short and long, after it in pronunciation; as it is also capable, like them, of being quiescent (as it is called); i.e. of being vowelless, and of thus ending a syllable. The subject of the

various conditions of *hemza*, or consonantal 'elif, is somewhat intricate, as is shown in the following paragraphs.

- 1. When hemza is initial to an ordinary word (i.e. when it is not a hemza of junction, which see further on), and is followed by any one of the three short vowels, it always keeps the one, unchanging written form, like every other consonant. Thus, أَنُ 'ardz, أَلَّ 'elem, أَنَ 'in, أَنُولُ 'insān, 'isal. With hesra vowel, the hemza sign is more generally placed, with the vowel-point, below the \\; as إُنْسَانَ, etc.
- 2. When hemza is initial to an ordinary word, but is followed by a long vowel, it still follows the foregoing rule of no change in its written form, so long as the vowel is heterogeneous to the hemza; i.e. when the vowel is either kesra or dzamma, as in \$\frac{1}{2}\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot\tau\delta\de

3. When i is not initial to a word, other rules have to be observed. It may then be either movent or quiescent, i.e. followed or not, accompanied or not, by its own vowel in pronunciation; and this is tantamount to saying, in other words, that non-initial i may either begin or end a non-initial syllable in a word (exactly like every other consonant). Its rules in these cases vary greatly.

4. When i, non-initial to a word, is initial to a syllable, whether its own vowel be short or long, we have to take notice of the vowel that accompanies the consonant preceding the in the word, as well as its own vowel.

5. If that preceding vowel, as well as its own, be the homogeneous short fet-ha, then suffers no change in

- 6. If non-initial hemza be preceded in the word by a heterogeneous short vowel, hesra or dzamma, its own vowel still continuing homogeneous and short, the i undergoes a corresponding change in its written form, and becomes fafter hesra, and fafter dzamma; as, ti, ri'a, ti'a, ti'a,
- 7. If, in such case, its own vowel be long, it then takes itself one or other of the two forms given in the preceding paragraph, but is followed by that one of the three long-vowel letters, الم و و و المعلق و

8. And if the hemza's vowel in such case be short, and the preceding vowel be short fet-ḥa´, it still changes its written form as above; thus, بَرْفُ be'isa, نَوْف be'usa, خَوْف dhe'iba, وَرُفُ dhe'uba, وَرُفُ dhe'uba, وَرُفُ لَلهُ re'ifa, etc.

- 9. If the preceding vowel be short fet-ha, while its own vowel be long and heterogeneous, the change in written form occurs as above, but the long-vowel letter is added; as, تَنْبَدُ re'īm, رَثُونَ de'ūb, وَوْفَ re'ūf, etc. The second, is often, perhaps mistakenly, omitted in writing and printing such words as these last two, even in copies of the Qur'ān, from reverence for ancient use.

mutebā'is, مُرَآئِلٌ mutefā'il, مُنَآئِمٌ mutā'im, مُنَآئِمٌ murā'il مُنَآئِمٌ mudhā'ir, مُنَآئِمٌ thā'ir, وَآئِفٌ rā'if, وَآئِرُ rā'if, وَآئِرُ rā'il, وَآئِرُ rā'il, وَآئِرُ rā'il, وَآئِرُ rā'il, وَآئِرُ rā'il, وَقَالِمُ rā'il, وَقَالِمُ الله vowel is sometimes long, as in رَائِفُ rā'if, etc.; in others it is servile and formative, as in مَا مُعَالِمُ bahā'im, etc.; and in others again it is a commutative substitute for a radical consonant وَمَا يَا مُعَالِمُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ اللهُ عَلَيْهُ اللهُ ال

11. There are some, comparatively few, words in which is the second radical, and has short kesra, , for its vowel, while it is preceded by a short dzamma vowel?. It does not then conform in writing to that preceding? vowel, but is written; thus, عَبْلَ du'il, خَبْتُ dhu'iba, عَبْلَ su'ila, etc.

12. Non-initial hemza in a word, when initial to a syllable, may be immediately preceded by a quiescent consonant, marked in writing, when necessary, with the jezm جَزَةُ sign over it ', which is also called sukūn شُرُونُ ; the quiescent consonant then ends the preceding syllable of the word. The hemza, in such case, figures, in writing, as أَ, فَ, or أَ, according to its own vowel, if short: thus, عَمَا اللهُ jey'ela, أَبُونُ mur'ila; أَدُونُ mur'ila; أَدُونُ um, مَسَوُّ um, etc.; or as آ, أَدُورُ ter'īs, مَسَوُّ um, are sometimes found written for مَسَوُّ ul.

- 16. At the beginning of certain well-defined words only, initial hemza, when any one of those words follows any other word in a phrase or sentence, becomes elided in pronunciation alone, being still written. It is then called the 'elif of junction, الف الف الوقال 'elifu 'l-waṣl; and is marked with a special sign, ", called waṣla وَصَلَةُ , placed over it thus, \textsf. The words in question are of four classes; viz. 1, the definite article المَعْمُ اللهُ عَلَى اللهُ ال

In transliterations of all these words, our apostrophe is the fitting sign of such elision.

17. But, with regard to the definite article and this elision of its hemza when not initial in a sentence or solitary word. the further observation is needed that, in this respect, the Arabic alphabet of twenty-eight letters is divided equally into two classes of fourteen letters each, denominated respectively the "lunar" and the "solar" letters; because the words gamer (the moon), and شُمْتُ shems (the sun) have their initial letters in the two classes respectively. The lunar letters, أَكُورُفُ ٱلْكَرَوْفُ ٱلْكَرَوْفُ الْكَمَرِيَّةُ 'el ḥurūfu 'l-qameriyya, are, أَ, بِ ج, ح, خ, خ, ف, ف, ق, ف, ه, ه, ه, ه, ه, while the solar letters, أَلْحُرُونُ ٱلشَّمْسِيَّةُ 'el ḥurūfu 'sh-shemsiyya, are, ت رف , ع , ف , ط , ط , ط , ص , ش , س , ن , ل . The difference seen above in the two class-names is that when a harfun خَرُفٌ قَمَرِيُّ وَمُعَالِينَ اللهِ إِلَيْنَ اللهِ إِلهِ إِلَى اللهِ اللهُ اللهِ ا qameriyy, is shown to be definite by the article that precedes it, no further change occurs in the group beyond the simple elision of the initial i of that article in its pronunciation; خَسَفَ dagga 'l-bab, دَتَّى ٱلْبَابِ dagga 'l-bāb, خَسَفَ أَلْقَمَرُ khasefa 'l-qamer, etc.; but, when the initial letter of the noun is a solar letter, عَرْفٌ شَمْسِي harfun shemsiyy, then, not only does the elision of the initial T of the article take place as before, but the Jalso of that article is transformed. in pronunciation, though not in writing, into the initial solar letter of the noun, which is then marked by the sign of reduplication ", the jezm sign of the J disappears in writing, and the wasla sign ~ is extended (in handwritings only, not in print) so as to cover the whole article آن البَشِيْرِ أَنْ البَشِيْرِ أَنْ البَشِيْرِ أَنْ البَشِيرِ اللهِ  $q\bar{a}la$  'r- $resar{u}l$ , شَقَى ٱلثَّوْبَ  $s\underline{h}aqqa$  ' $t\underline{h}$ - $t\underline{h}enb$ , گسفَ ٱلثَّوْبَ kesefe 'sh-shems, etc.

18. A penultimate long vowel in any Arabic word must

be followed by a consonant with its short vowel, or its nunnation; and this consonant may be hemza, radical or servile, as well as any other of the letters of the alphabet, all of which are consonants originally. Thus, قال  $b\bar{a}b$ , قال  $b\bar{a}b$ , قال  $q\bar{a}la$ , قال  $q\bar{a}la$ , قال  $q\bar{a}la$ , قال  $q\bar{a}la$ , قال  $amr\bar{a}$ ,  $amr\bar{a}$ 

19. Final short-vowel radical or commutative \ occurs in many words; as V la, V ma, V  $\stackrel{.}{:}$   $\stackrel{.}{:}$  gaza, etc. It should never be marked with a grave accent in transliteration. But there is a final short-vowel servile  $\hat{a}$  in Arabic, originally written with preceded by fet-ha , and then termed "shortened" اً أَلِفٌ مَقْصُورَةٌ which should be so marked أَلِفُ مَقْصُورَةً with the grave accent in transliteration, because it bears the stress or accent of the word; as بُشْرَى bushrà, عُبِلَةً hublà. إلى بالله ب method of marking the final short vowel of a word, when the stress falls on such final short vowel. But, when this short vowel , pronounced  $\dot{a}$ , ceases to be final to the eye in writing, and to the ear in pronunciation, by reason of a pronominal suffix or a f of unity or femininity being added after it in the word, then the vowel is written in Arabic with 1, and no longer with ... It cannot, then, by mere inspection or audition, be distinguished from the final radical I above mentioned; and this is probably the reason why it is called 'elif maqṣūra; thus, غُشْرَاهُ bu<u>sh</u>rà-hu, المُشْرَاهُ bu<u>sh</u>rà-hà, بُشْرَاي bushrà-ya, بُشْرَاك bushrà-ka, etc.; بُشْرَاي hamāt, عَصَاءَ hasāt: etc.

Short final vowel على after kesra is elided in ordinary writing or print, when the word is an indefinite noun; but is written when the noun becomes definite; as قَاضِ  $q\bar{a}dzi$ , وَالْعَالِي  $q\bar{a}dzi$ , الْعَالِي and الْعَالِي ' $\bar{a}li$ , etc. It is omitted at the end of a few well-known definite words, exceptionally; as الْمُتَعَالِي for الْمُتَعَالِي for الْمُتَعَالِي , الْمُتَعَالِي , وَالْعَالِ , الْمُتَعَالِي ), etc.

Univ Calif - Digitized by Microsoft @

Short-vowel final, is perhaps not met with; for  $\frac{dh}{u}$ , probably, and the apocopated regular plural nominatives, certainly, as,  $\frac{dh}{dt}$ , etc., end in long-vowel.

The third person plural masculine of preterite verbs regular, and some persons plural masculine of subjunctive and conditional acrist verbs, as well as the imperative plural, also end in long-vowel  $\mathfrak{g}'$ ; but, when such verbs are not followed by a pronominal suffix, the  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a silent  $\mathfrak{g}$  added after it in writing; thus,  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\mathfrak{g}$ 

The foregoing remarks may be regarded as fairly sufficient, if not exhaustive, for the subject of the Arabic short and long vowels, as also of the hemza consonant. This appendix is not an Arabic grammar. It may, however, be usefully added as a fundamental axiom of the Arabic written and spoken language, that no Arabic word or syllable can really begin with a vowel, whatever European ears and minds may think; for, the initial hemza of a word or syllable is always a consonant, and represents the gentle but perceptible opening of the vocal passage. The \(\xi\), the hard or harsh analogue of the soft, gentle hemza, represents the strong, guttural hiatus or gulp of such opening or closing; and initial \(\xi\) or \(\ni\), in word or syllable, is always a consonant, \(\ni\) or \(\ni\).

Before leaving the question of the Arabic vowels, it is essential to press home the conviction of the utter erroneousness, the mischievous misleadingness of the system adopted by our transliterators, that of using the combinations ah or eh to represent the Arabic feminine termination of nouns, which is, in fact, a consonant letter t  $\ddot{s}$ , and not a letter h s, at all. What has led to this serious European mistake, servilely adopted by so many unreflecting English scholars of incontestable merit, is that when a full stop follows such feminine noun,—and every isolated word is naturally followed by such full-stop,—its final  $\ddot{s}$ , called  $\ddot{s}$   $\ddot{t}$   $\ddot{$ 

from the pronunciation. Thus, Libetun, Elbetun, Elbetin, النَّهُ kelbetan, عَنَكُ kelbetu, عَنَكُ kelbeti, عَنَكُ kelbeta, are then all equally pronounced kelba. But this pronunciation might quite as well be written زگلت; only, this گلت, with the vowel-points marked, is not differentiated in any respect from the objective definite of the masculine : and for this reason alone, as in the parallel case of the termination 1, in plural verbs, a difference of terminal form, spoken and written, was devised, to show the feminine noun without its distinctive final  $\ddot{s}$ , when the word was followed by a full stop. That spoken form was, as said above, to drop the feminine " and its case-vowel, saying, for instance, kelba. The written form was, to drop the dots, the diacritical points, of the final feminine ", which thus became, in form, a s; mimicking the soft aspirate when written, but never pronounced as one. A final soft aspirate s is always a radical letter, whereas a final feminine "s", and its undotted representative, unaspirated s, is always a servile formative letter. A knowledge of grammar enables one to distinguish between such feminine nouns and words really ending with radical, aspirated s. This last is, and always should be, as scrupulously and as audibly aspirated at the end of a word, in Arabic, as at the beginning or in the middle; exactly as is the case with its hard or harsh analogue لَهُبُوبُ اللهِ اللهُ ال But the undotted s, standing really for servile s at the end of a feminine noun, is never aspirated; and, as it is always preceded by a fet-ha vowel accompanying a preceding consonant in the same, final syllable of the word, it has come in course of time, if not from all antiquity, to be the outward and visible sign of a final unmarked fet-ha vowel in a feminine noun. It is, virtually, a fourth Arabic vowel letter, used in Arabic at the end of feminine nouns only; as, بَرُكَةُ (for يَرُكُ , etc.) bereka, بَرُكُهُ (for يُرَكُ birka, المَدِينَةُ (for مُدِينَةً) turba, مَدِينَةً (for مُرْضَةً) furşa, مَدِينَةً (for مُدِينَةً) Mekka, عَلَى (for مُدَينَةً إلى المُولامة (for مُدَينَةً) Jidda, مَدَينَةً (for مُدَينَةً) Hudeyda, مُدِينَةً (for مُدَينَةً) Khadīja, etc. This peculiar use of final (virtually) vowel s may be compared to the French usage of not pronouncing the final consonant or consonants of most words, when not followed by an initial vowel in the next word of the phrase or sentence, as in "c'est lui," compared with "c'està lui," etc. Europeans are misled by the similarly erroneous transliteration of feminine Hebrew Biblical names, such as Rebekah, and the like; but the true origin and value of the (virtually-vowel) final feminine s for s, and its not being an aspirate, is correctly set forth in the present paragraph; and thus comes to a close the discussion of the Arabic vowels.

The consonants, that is, all the letters, of the Arabic alphabet, twenty-eight in number, have their isolated written forms, and their values, as nearly as our letters and combinations of letters (never more than two letters in one combination) can represent them, as follows:

provincialisms. The ; q, again, is by some still, and was, formerly, by all, written with a k in transliterations, and later on, was distinguished from the  $\mathcal{L}$ , also shown by a k, by having a dot placed under it, k. But the very Arabic letter , as well as both our capital and small letters Q, q, are but modified forms of one original Phenician, old Hebrew, or old Arabic letter, whence old Greek Q and Latin Q, q. It never was pronounced by Semitics with the same organ as the k, also directly derived from the Phenician; but the Greeks were the first to confuse q and k, as they confused almost every letter of the primitive alphabet; much more so than did the Etruscans and Latins. Some writers use our c to represent;; but our c is the descendant, as is the Arabic  $\pi$ , and the Greek  $\Gamma$ , of the Phenician. It is therefore erroneous so to use a c, and as we now know the filiation of our letters, as well as that of the Semitic characters. our scholars are gradually becoming unanimous in the use of q to represent ق, without putting the needless u after it. Thus we write قَابِلُ  $q\bar{a}bil$ , قَبُولُ  $qab\bar{u}l$ , قَبُولُ qirat, قَرُبُ qirat, قَرُبُ qirat, قَرُبُ qirat, قَرُبُ qirat, قرربُ qiratqur'ān, etc. قُرْأَى maqūl, مَقُولً

Of the eleven remaining Arabic consonants, three,  $\underline{\underline{h}}$ ,  $\underline{\underline{h}}$ , are distinguished, in transliteration, from three of the foregoing seventeen, by a short stroke placed under them. Hitherto a dot has been used for this purpose; but as it is frequently very indistinct, a bold short stroke is preferable, both for print and for handwriting; especially as a long stroke is used for the letters of the class here following, as also to mark the long vowels, as explained above, when put over them.

Of the eight Arabic letters now left for explanation, our alphabet offers no equivalent single character. But our  $\underline{th}$  and  $\underline{sh}$  give a combination, each, that exactly represents the value of one Arabic letter, viz.  $\underline{ch}$ ,  $\underline{sh}$ . There is a difficulty about our  $\underline{th}$ . It has in our language two values,

Univ Calif - Dignized by Microsoft @

Three Arabic letters, again,  $\frac{kh}{c}$ ,  $\frac{k}{b}$ ,  $\frac{k}{b}$ ,  $\frac{k}{b}$ ,  $\frac{k}{b}$ , have no English equivalents in single or double letters, though we can manage to represent them in transliterations. The value of  $\frac{kh}{c}$  can be learnt from a Scotchman when he says loch, or from a German when he says ach. The reason for not taking ch to represent  $\frac{1}{c}$  is, that ch is, in French, equivalent to our sh and German sch; also, that our ch, the equivalent of French and English tch, as of German tsch, is a useful representative of Sanskrit, Persian, and Turkish letters which do not exist in Arabic. But, the student must never pronounce  $\frac{kh}{k}$  as  $\frac{k}{k}$ , though this is natural in ordinary English and French readers. Until the correct sound is learnt, it would be far better to pronounce  $\frac{k}{k}$ , and not  $\frac{k}{k}$ , in such words as  $\frac{1}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$ ,  $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$ ,  $\frac{k}{k}$   $\frac{k}{k}$ ,  $\frac{k}{k}$ ,

The  $\dot{\omega}$  is sounded as a d in some parts, and as a z in others. But it is the most characteristic letter in the Arabic language. A good professional or amateur reciter of the  $Qur'\bar{a}n$  is as proud of his perfect pronunciation of this letter  $\dot{\omega}$ , as is a Parisian of his r grasseyé or as an Englishman of his accuracy in sounding such a medley as "though thou think the thing thine, this threefold thong thrown through

the throng shall thoroughly thwart thee." Hence, قَصَاءَ  $qadz\bar{a}'$ , وَمَا مَنْ مُعْ  $q\bar{a}dz\bar{a}'$ , وَمُنْ مُعْ مُعْدُولً مُعْدُولً fadzl, فَاضِى dzabl, مَضْبُولً مُعْدُولً مُعْدُولًا مُعْد

The  $\[ \beta \],$  frequently sounded provincially as a very hard  $\[ z \]$ , is, perhaps, the most disputable letter, as to its correct sound, of the whole Arabic alphabet. It is a sibilant modification of  $\[ b \]$  to and to is the only possible value. Thus, خَالُومُ matsar, فَاظُورُ nātsar, فَاظُورُ nātsar, فَاظُورُ mantsar, فَاظُورُ mantsar, فَاطُورُ mantsar, فَا فَالِدُ mantsar, فَا فَالِدُ mantsar, فَا فَالِدُ mantsar, فَالْمُورُ mantsar فَالْمُورُ شَالِمُ سُورُ سُورُ

All these six double consonant combinations for one Arabic letter each must carefully be marked with a long line under them, because, in Arabic words, the single letters composing them frequently occur separately in succession, and must be pronounced independently.

There now remain the hemza عربة , أ, على, أ, already sufficiently enlarged on, and the 'ayn , also mentioned above, for which no English letter or combination of letters, is available. As was said before, they both represent the hiatus that introduces a vowel, or separates two vowels, in a word, by opening or modifying the aperture of the vocal passage, and thus beginning a syllable without the aid of an ordinary consonant or aspiration; and also the hiatus that closes a syllable after a vowel without such aid of an ordinary consonant or aspiration; the hemza being soft and gentle, the 'ayn being harsh and deeply guttural. To represent such hiatus without a letter in transliterations, the reversed apostrophes, 'for hemza, ' for 'ayn, appear the most appropriate symbols, as they efficiently separate two following vowels in two following syllables of a word, by beginning the second syllable, as they open or close any syllable, and also admit of reduplication when required. Thus, مُن 'emr, أُوسِر 'emīr, أُوسِر 'amir, مَعْمُورٌ , re''ās ; عُمْرٌ 'umr عُمْرٌ ( āmir رَأْسٌ , me'mūr مَأْمُورٌ ma'mūr, Ju fa"āl.

Two passing remarks, even three to an Englishman, may be useful. 1. In Arabic writing, two separate letters never combine to form such combinations as our ch, tch, sh, ph, rh, th, or as French dj, or as German ch, sch, dsch, tsch, etc. But, on the other hand, juxtapositions occur commonly that are unknown in our tongue; such as مُرْبَع sub-h, وَنَتْ fet-h, وَنَا عَلَى اللهِ عَلَى اللهِ عَلَى الله fes-ḥ, عَنَاتِهُ qid-ḥ, مُثَنَاتِهُ kun-h, نَبِيهُ nebīh, تَنْبِيهُ tenbīh, مُثَنَاتِهُ mutenebbih, మీ 'ebleh, etc. 2. In Arabic, an aspirate must always be aspirated, wherever placed in a word, whether as an initial, as a medial, or as a final. The preceding words are instances of final aspirates, and they may be reduplicated when medial or final, like any other consonant; thus, مَصْعَةُ سَعَمُ muşahhih, مُنْكُمُنَّ muşahhah, مُنْكُمُنَّ saḥḥāf, مُنْكُمُنَّ mukehhel, JE kehhāl. Initial and simple medial aspirates abound; مَخْبُ haqīr, مُاضِمُ hedm, هَدْمُ hedm, مُخْبُ hādzim, حِكَايَةُ saḥb, مَضْحُوبٌ sehm, صَاحِبٌ ṣāḥib, سَهِيلٌ sehīl, مَاحِبٌ mas-hūb, etc. Whenever an aspirate occurs in a transliteration so as to be preceded in the word by a consonant that, in English, would make a combination having the value of a single Arabic letter, the aspirate should, by way of precaution, be separated from the other consonant by a hyphen, to mark that they belong grammatically to two syllables. Several examples are seen above. 3. An Englishman should never allow letter r at the end of a syllable in an Arabic word to modify and debase the vowel that precedes it in the syllable, as is so general in English words. All Arabic vowels must always be kept pure in pronunciation, as vowels are always kept pure in Italian, and most other languages; no modification of their one sound each is in any case permissible.

The twenty-eight Arabic consonants are further divisible as to pronunciation, into two very distinct, but numerically unequal classes, "soft" and "hard" (or "harsh"). Nineteen are soft,  $\dot{\uparrow}$ ,  $\dot{\psi}$ ,  $\dot{$ 

46

رض, م, ل ; while only nine are hard, ج, ه, و, ن, م, ف, ف, م, خ, ج , خ, ط, ط

The chief result of this division of the consonants is that the short fet-ha vowel', when following one of the former in the same syllable, generally takes the value of our short e in bet, men, pen, ten, etc.; whereas, in accompanying a consonant of the hard class, it invariably takes the sound of our short surd vowel a, as heard in the first syllable of the word a-bove, and in the indefinite article of the phrase a man. The vowel kesra is also affected in an analogous manner to be explained further on; but the vowel dzamma' is not so affected, always having the Italian u value, short or long.

The difficulty experienced by an English student of Arabic, in respect of transliterating the vowels, does not arise from the Arabic vowels themselves, but from the uncertain, indefinable, ever-changing sound of our vowel-letters. Every one of our vowels takes, at times, the short surd value of a in a-bove; thus, her, fir, actor, but. Our East-India service once adopted the use of this u of but, us, etc., to represent the Arabic short fet-ha vowel in all cases invariably; and some still do so. Thus we meet with Mohummud, Syud, etc.

Every one of our English vowels, moreover, has several values, as in fat, fate, father, fall, above; me, met, her; reliance, pit, fir; go, got, shove; purity, pudding, gut. By reason of this uncertainty, the deceptive, incorrect rule was adopted: "the vowels as in Italian," the a to be always read as in father, e as in pet, i as in pin, o as in go, u as in pull. If this rule is incorrect, how are the three Arabic vowels to be correctly represented in our characters? and what is the incorrect part of the rule?

1. The Italian a-sound does not exist in Arabic as a short vowel at all. Our English short surd sound of a, unknown in Italian, is the only true equivalent of Arabic short fet-ha following one of the nine hard consonants; as, shamd,

غَرِّهُ اللهُ tab', مُنْتُ <u>kh</u>abth, مُكْرَّةُ sadr, فَنْتُ <u>dz</u>abt, خَبْتُ <u>tsafer</u>, عَنِثْ gadr, قَلْتُ gadr, قَلْتُ galb.

- 2. With any one of the nineteen soft consonants, short fet-ha is generally equivalent to Italian e, as in our men, met, etc. Thus, المَّنَّ 'emed, بَرُّ berr, تَلُّ tell, تَوْتُ themb, رَسِّمْ jelb, دَفْنَ defn, دَنْنَ dheneb, رَسَّمْ resm, رَجْ zejr, دَنْنَ semt, مَرَّ shejer, فَمْ fem, كُلْبُ kelb, مَرَّ lezm, مَرَّ mer, yemen. But, the occurrence يَمَنَ nesj, وَتَرَّ nesj, وَتَرَّ of any one or more of the nine hard consonants in a word, wherever placed in it, has a tendency to cause the short surd a value to be assumed by the fet-ha of the nearest soft consonant, or even of any soft consonant in the word. This detail can be learnt only by hearing correct readers and speakers pronounce the words.
  - 3. Long fet-ha V has two different values, also, with the two classes of consonants. With the soft class, it has the Italian sound of a, lengthened as in our word father (like French â in pâtre); but with the hard class, it no longer has the Italian or French sound, but becomes very similar to our broadest long a in wall, fall, call. We have no method to distinguish these two values in writing, and continental scholars are usually ignorant of their difference; neither can they mark it in any way. The class of the Arabic consonant marks the difference effectually, indubitably; and English scholars should emphasize this difference in their pronunciation Thus, بَارِزٌ bāriz, تَاسِعٌ tāsi', جَارِي jāri, etc., as father; but عَامِلُ hamil, خَاطِرُ khaţir, مَاكِ şādir, ضَالَّ dzāll, ْ gābin, قَادِرُ qādir, etc., غَاطِرُ tsāfir, غَاطِرُ tsāfir, قَادِرُ gābin, قَادِرُ almost like our broad a in hawk, vault, etc. There are a few well-known words in which the Arabic long-vowel fet-hal is dispensed with in writing, though still fully pronounced; such as رُحْمَلَ for زَحْمَانَ; and مُعُويَةٌ is sometimes met with

are much used, from مَعَاوِيَّةً. The forms مَعَاوِيّة are much used, from reverence for an archaic usage found in the Qur'an.

- 4. With the nineteen soft consonants, the short-vowel kesra is again exactly represented by the Italian sound of i, as in our fin, pit, etc. Thus: أَذُ 'idh, بِنَاتُ bint, مِنْاتُ bint, مِنْاتُ bisān, etc. With the long vowel kesra وَرَايَةُ the sound is the same, but prolonged as in our words ravine, fascine. Thus: مِيرَةُ sīra, فِيلُ fīl, فِيلُ dīn, etc.
- 5. With the nine hard consonants, the short and the long-vowel kesra requires a sound of which we have no conception in English, and which does not exist in French, Italian, or German, but is well known in Russian, where it is represented by the special vowel letter b. Until its true sound can be learnt by ear, a student must of necessity pronounce it as the Italian i when short, and as the French î when long. Thus: عَدَانَ مِنْ فَعَنَ بُلُهُ اللهُ عَلَى اللهُ اللهُ عَلَى اللهُ ال
- 6. With short or long-vowel <u>dzamma</u> or f', the two classes of consonants make no difference of sound in Arabic, except in the quantity, Italian u being always the model of the sound; as, f' = hur, f' = hur, etc.

The following remarks may usefully be added:

1. In transliterating and pronouncing Arabic, never reduplicate a consonant unless to represent a teshdīd ", in the original; and always reduplicate such letter in writing and in pronouncing; as in رَبِّانِي rabb, تَوَانِي rabbāniyy, نَافِقُ qaḥḥār, مَنَافِقُ mute'essif, etc. This rule is strictly Italian as well as Arabic. Englishmen, slaves to French example, write double letters in words, and then pronounce but one; as in command, sunny, turret, etc. And yet we say mad dog, if fair, in nature, etc., correctly, sounding the

two successive consonants; and it is just as easy to do so in the middle or at the end of one word.

- 2. It will not have escaped the reader, that, in transliterating Arabic words that end with a short-vowel fet-ha after a soft consonant, the letter a has constantly been used in the present paper. This is merely a compliance with the necessities of English orthography, since we do not possess the French é or the German final value of e; and final e in an English word is always dropped in pronunciation, producing an effect on the preceding vowel instead. A final a has not this effect, though it does not truly represent the sound of Arabic fet-ha after a soft consonant. It is a vowel, one of the equivalents to fet-ha, and is more correct, by far more preferable, than the erroneous and objectionable ah or eh used by so many. It is an unfortunate necessity, and may sometimes be evaded in compound expressions, such as قال القرائد والمساحة وا
- 3. A very erroneous method of transliterating Arabic compound terms and sentences, prevalent all over the continent, as well as among English scholars, is, to sink the final vowel of a word, leaving its consonant bare; and then to treat the next word, commencing with an 'elif of union \(\tilde{\chi}\), as though it were the initial word of a sentence, or an isolated word. Thus they write, for instance: \(Jem\bar{a}l-ed-d\bar{\chi}n\), etc., instead of \(Jem\bar{a}lu-'d-D\bar{\chi}n\) (\(Jem\bar{a}li-'d-D\bar{\chi}n\), \(Jem\bar{a}la-'d-D\bar{\chi}n\)), etc. It is a most reprehensible practice, and a careful student should break himself of it as speedily as possible. De Sacy (Gram. Ar. vol. i. pp. 79-81, seconde édition) transliterates correctly in this respect; and Wright (Gram. of Arab. Lang. vol. i. pp. 19-22) gives the correct rules on this point.
- 4. When a word commencing with an 'elif of union stands alone, begins a discourse, or comes after a full stop or pause, or after a word ending with a quiescent consonant, a vowel must be borrowed for that initial \(\tilde{\cups}\), as is said, from our own

The following words and names are offered as examples of the system advocated, with instances (in parentheses), gathered from various sources of great authority, of different kinds of erroneous transliteration:

رَّانُ 'eb (ab).
رَّانُ 'Ebreha (Abrahah).
رَّانُ الْمُغَارِرِ
الله 'Ibnu 'l-Mugāwir (Ibn el-Moghâwir).
رَّانُ 'أَنْ اللهُغَارِ
'Ibnu Jubeyr (Ibn Jubair).
رَّانُ 'ebū (abû).
رَّانُ 'Ebwā' (Abwa).
رَانُ 'Ebwā' (Abwa).
رَانُ 'Ebū-Sufyān (Abû-Sofyân, Abu Sufiyan).
رَّانُ 'Ebū-Leheb (Abu Laheb).
رَّانُ 'Ebū-Hureyra (Abu Horeira).
رَّانُ اللهُمُونَيْنِ 'Ikhmīm (Akmim).
رَّانُ اللهُمُونَيْنِ 'Ushmūneyn (Ashmuneim).
رَّانُ اللهُمُونَيْنِ 'el-Quds (Al-Kods).
رَّانُ 'ewtās (Autas).

ُوْقَاتٌ 'ewqāf (aukaf, efkaf, evcaf).

Baṣra (Bassorah, Basrah).

Buṣrà (Bostra).

Bekru 'bnu Wā'il. } (Bakr-Wâil).

Bekru Wā'il. بَكْرُ وَآئِلِ

اَيْكَ آءُ Beydā' (Baidâ).

ُ الْكَابِيرُ 'Et-Tellu 'l-Kebīr (Tel el-Kebir).

Teymā' (Teymah).

jebeleyn (obl. of جَبَلَيْنِ jebelān, dual of جَبَلَيْنِ a mountain) two mountains (gebelên).

غُمَّۃِ Jidda, عَمَّۃِ Judda (Jeddah).

پُکێێڎڠ Judeyda (Jedaydah).

غُوْجٌ Jizza (Egypt. Gizza), (Ghizeh).

يَّفُوْ Ja'fer (Jaafar).

بَانِلُ Ḥāʾil (Hayel).

جَاز Hijāz (Hejaz).

غُدَيْدَةُ Ḥudeyda (Hodeidah).

بَرِّ Ḥarem (Haram).

بَيْدُ ٱللَّهِ Ḥamīdu-'llāh (Hameed-Ullah).

يُرُونِ <u>Kh</u>urtum (Khartoum).

يُمْخُ <u>Kh</u>uluj (Kholoj).

الْفَاغُ Khulefa' (Kholefâ).

غَلفَا له Khalīfa (Khalifah).

كَرْعِيَّة Der'iyya (Derey'eeyah).

ڭۇيخ Duweym (Duem).

క్కేపర Dehnā' (Dahnā).

دَيْرُ deyr, a monastery (dêr).

دَكُوَانَ Dhekwān (Dhakwân).

remy (rami).

ريَاضٌ Riyādz (Ri'ad).

Zubeyr (Sebehr, Zebehr).

زَيْدُ ٱلْخَيْلِ Zeydu 'l-Khayl (Zaid al-Khail).

زَيْلَحُ Zeyla' (Zeilah).

يَنْبَثِ Zeyneb (Zainab).

Sebta (Ceuta).

آيون Sa'īd (Said).

Sewāḥil (Suahil, Saouâhil, Souahhel).

Sewāḥiliyy (Suahili, Saouâhili, Souahhéli).

Sewākin (Suakin, Suakim).

Sūdān (Soudan).

Suweys (Suez). سُوَيْسٌ

کیتی Seyyid (Seid, Syud).

كَيْتُ ٱلسَّلَاطِينِ Seyyidu 's-Selāṭīn (Saiyidu-selátín).

شَفَقَةٌ Shefaqat (Chefkat).

شيبة Sheyba (Shaybah).

بَعْيِنُ مِضَرَ Ṣa'īdu Miṣr (Saaid-Misr).

فَيْزَنَّ dzayzen (daizan).

Tanja (Tangiers).

Tuweyq (Ṭoweyk).

āridz ('Aared).

نَشَةٌ 'Ā'isha (Ayesha, 'Âisha).

Univ Calif - Dignized by Microsoft @

ْ كَبُدُ أَلْحَقُّ 'Abdu 'l-Ḥaqq (Abd-el-Hakk).

عَبْدُ أَلْحَمِيدِ 'Abdu 'l-Ḥamīd (Abd-el-Hamid).

Abdu 'r-Raḥmān (Abd-el-Rahman).

ْ Abdu 'r-Raḥīm (Abd-el-Rahim).

َ الْغَفَّارُ 'Abdu 'l-Gaffar (Abd-el-Ghaffar).

ْ Abdu 'l-Qādir (Abd-el-Kader). عَبْدُ ٱلْقَادِر

عَبْدُ ٱللَّهِ 'Abdu-'llāh (Abdallah).

عَبُدُ أَلْمَجِيدِ 'Abdu 'l-Mejīd (Abd-el-Mejid).

َ مَبُدُ ٱلْمُطَّلِبِ 'Abdu 'l-Muttalib (Abd-el-Mottalib, — Muttaleb).

ْ كَبُدُ أَلْوَهَابِ 'Abdu 'l-Wehhāb (Abd-el-Wahab).

ْ كُمْمَانُ 'Uthmān (Othman, Osman, Othoman, Ottoman).

్ పడ 'ades (Adas).

ئكنْ 'Aden (Aden).

్రైవేడ్ 'Udeyn (Odden).

نَّ أَلْعُرُوضِ 'ilmu 'l-'arūdz (ilm el aruz).

الله 'Umān (Oman, Omman).

نَدُ 'Umer (Omar).

مُرِّدُ 'Amr (Amrou).

الله 'Ināyetu-'llāh (Inyatullah).

غازى gāzi (ghâzi).

آزَوْ gazā' (ghazâ).

غَيْرَةٌ gayra (ghairah).

ferezdaq (Farazdac).

qādzi (cadi, cauzee).

gāhira (Cairo, le Caire).

qubba (cobba).

qaḥṭān (caḥṭân).

quds (cods).

quzaḥ (cozaḥ).

quṣayr (Cosseir, Kosseir).

dudzā'a (Codâ'a).

qinnā (Keneh). قِنَّةٌ

qus (Goos).

qūnya (Coniah, Konieh).

qahwa (K'hawah).

كَبُيْشَةٌ Kubeysha (Kobaisha).

Muḥammed (Mahomet, Mohammad, Mohummud).

سُدُّعِيَّة Mudda'iyya (Maddey'yeeyah).

مدنتة Medīna (Medinah).

مَدِينَةٌ ٱلنَّبِيّ Medīnetu 'n-Nebiyy (Medínah ar'rasúl).

Meryem (Maryam).

شجة mesjid (masjid).

كَشَفَطْ Masqat (Muscat).

muslim (moslem).

سُوْعَ Muṣawwa' (Massowah).

گنّه Mekka (Meccah, Makkah).

تَمُكُونَا عُكُمْ Mekketu 'l-Mu'atstsama (Mekkah Maazmeh).

Minya (Minieh).

Mawsil (Mosul).

Muweyla (Moilah).

mehdiyy (Mahdi).

الْجَانُ Nejd (Nejed).

Univ Calif - Dignized by Microsoft @

```
وَهُرَانُ Wehrān (Oran).

ظَرَانُ Hārūn (Harun, Haroon).

Yenbu'

Yembo).

Yembo'
```

From these examples a judgment may be formed as to how far from correct is the new Admiralty system of transliteration for Arabic words, recently adopted by the Royal Geographical Society; 1 more especially the rule to reduplicate a consonant when it is wished to show that the preceding vowel is short.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be observed that the "system" here adverted to was rather an endeavour to insure uniformity under reasonable precedent, than to illustrate any strict adherence to grammatical orthography.—ED.



## 14 DAY USE RETURN TO DESK FROM WHICH BORROWED LOAN DEPT.

RENEWALS ONLY—TEL. NO. 642-3405 This book is due on the last date stamped below, or on the date to which renewed. On the date to which renewed. Renewed books are subject to immediate recall.

DES 18 19 19 19	 29 '69-3PM
	General Library University of California Berkeley



