

()

*

:

()

- -

2006/5/11

2005/8/24

*

(4)

...)
" "

(5)(

...)

:

.()

(6)(...

...)

(7)(

: (La Connaissance Acquise) ()

(1)

(8)

(2)

(9)

(Croix Exautifier)

(10)

(3)

(11)

(12)

:

-

-

(24)

(13)

:

-1

(Cuyomard)

(14)

(25)

(15)

(16)

-2

(17)

(18)

(19)

(26)

-3

(20)

(21)

(27)

-4

(22)

(28)

(23)

-2

-5

()

(33)

(29)

(34)

(30)

-6

(31)

:

:

(32)

-1

(35)

...)

...)

(36)(...

...)

(37)(...

...)

(42)(...

...)

(/12)

...

(43)(...

...

(38)(...
...)

(39)(...

(44)

...)

(45)

(40)(...

:

(41)

(46)

(47)

(56)(...

(48)

...)

(49)(...

(57)

(50)

...)

- -

(51)(

(58)

(52)

(59)

...)

(60)(

()

...)

(53)(...

(61)

...

...)

(54)(...

...)

(55)(...

...)

(68)

:

-

(62)

...)

(63)(

...)

:

(69)

...)

...

(64)(

(65)

(70)(...

:

(71)

)

(66)

(72)(...

)

...)

()

(73)(...

:

(67)(...

(83)

(74)

)

(75)(

:

...)

1999/3/18

...)

(76)(...

(84)(

...)

(77)(

...)

...)

(78)(...

(85)(

...)

)

...)

(79)(

... (86)(

... (80)(

...

(81)(...

(87)(...
...)

...)

(88)(...

...

(82)(..

...)

(96)(

(89)(...

)

(97)(

(98)

(90)

(91)

(92)

(93)

)

:

(99)(

)

(94)(

)

(100)

(95)(

(101)

...)

1998/1/27
(110)(...

(102)

(103)

(104)

(105)

(111)

(106)

)

(108)

(107)(

)

(109)(

(112)

(113)

))

(114)(

(

(115)

(116)

1997/9/10

...)

(121)

(117)

:

...)

(122)(())

...)

...)

1992/10/24

1992/11/2

(123)(...

)

(124)(

1992/11/2

(118)(
...)

1993/1/25

...)

(125)(...

(119)(...

2001/9/24

(120)

2002/3/22

2002/4/7

...)

:

...

(126)(

...)

...

(130)(...

()

...)

-

-

(131)(

(127)

:

)

...

(128)(...

...)

(129)(

-

-

:

-1

- -

-5

-2

-6

- -

-7

(132)

-

()

-3

-

-

-4

Carbajo, Op.Cit. P. 35.	(16)		
C.E. 21/7/1970, Ploteau, R.S. P. 1144 voir aussi, Auby et Drago, P. 919.	(17)	Charles Debbasch, Contentieux administratif, Dalloz, Paris, 1970. P 345-346.	(1)
.115-114	(18)	Georges Vedel, Droit administratif, 6edt, P.U.F. Paris, 1976, P 512-513.	
C.E. 23/5/1952, Roge R.S. P. 273.	(19)	Jean-Marie Aubey et Roland Drago, Traite de contentieux administratif, 3 edt., Tom.1. L.G.D.J. Paris, 1984. P 917.	(2)
C.E. 13/4/1956, Leontieff et auters R.S. P. 724.	(20)		
Raymond Odent, Contentieux Administratif, fascicule, Paris, 1957-1958 P. 67. Carbajo, Op. Cit. P. 35.	(21)	Christain Gabolde, La Procedure des tribunuux Administratifs, 3 edt. Dalloz, Paris 1981. P. 116.	
	(22)		
		503	(3)
() ()	(23)	206	
.520		309	
:	(24)	.137	
125-124		(/24)	(4)
73-71		(/12) 1972 47	
142-141		1992 12	
		.()	
281		1992/11/29 36 2810	(5)
		.942	
		64/13	(6)
		.3 13	
.64		.242	(7)
124	(25)	Auby et Drugo, Op.cit. P 917-920, :	(8)
.71	(26)	Joel Carbajo, L'Application dans le temps des decisions administrative executoires, L.G.D.J. Paris, 1980, P 20-32, P 34-37.	
72-71			
.142	(27)	.116-114	(9)
124			
.213	(28)		
.141	(29)	(R.S.)	
.518	(30)	C.E 29/1/1909 Sieur Broc R. P99.	
.143	(31)	C.E. 2/12/1921 sieur vinturaux R.P. 1044.	
.125	(32)	C.E. 15/6/1919 Lavoisi R.P. 5.45.	
:		C.E. 22/4/1921 R.S. P.293	(10)
.64-63		C.E. 20/1/1932 Cordier R.S. P. 67.	
.143-142		C.E. 11/5/1951 Chabassier R.S. P.261.	
.229		.517	(11)
C.E. 5/8/1911. Commune d'Ecquemecourt R.P. 950.	(33)	C.E. 14/12/1951 Lambaret et auters, R.S. P. 1904.	(12)
		C.E. 20/1/1932 Cordier R.S. P.67.	(13)
(2) 518		C.E. 7/12/1951 R.S. P. 799.	(14)
C.E. 15/2/1997, Dayna R.P. 162	(34)	C.E. 4/4/1952 Gerbaud, R.S. P. 211	(15)
C.E. 23/5/1939, Delmus R.P. 336.			
	(80)	Carbajo, op.cit. P. 35	

		.246-245							
6	949		(53)	.519					
		1954/3/16			310-309				(35)
		.696		139-137		206			
31	888	2785	(54)		208-206				
-434		1987/6/23			.714-712				
		.435		1954/1/26	7/1804				(36)
1		81/73	(55)	.514					
		.5 1982		36 879					
		78/45	(56)	.713		1993/7/20			
		.21 1979				2002/223			(37)
7	457		(57)	2003 3-1					
		1954/3/9				.115			
		.901		570					(38)
			(58)		1969/2/8	13			
		1949/2/16 2/597		.354 14					
		355		5	79/89				(39)
2636					.611 1980				
		1988/3/8 33		1996/6/9	34 344				(40)
		.1067 2 33			.714				
3/764			(59)	6-4	64/13				(41)
		1952/3/18			.328-326 964				
		.680			2004/65				(42)
3-1		2002/126	(60)	.30-19	2005 3-1				
		.41 2003			.30				(43)
		.212	(61)	1987 39 3222					(44)
		.228	(62)	.1086 35					
2554			(63)		:				(45)
		1992/3/28 32			1954/5/2 5/1275				
		.451		.1337					
18	659		(64)	212-210					(46)
		1976/11/23		.206	717-714				
		1980-1965			.212-208				(47)
	506 1			1986/2/4 27	465				(48)
	35 82			.1055-1054	31				
457-455		1994/10/16		1992/11/7	33 1036				
		19 37		.444-443					
	.143 19			2	79/4				(49)
	1993/10/9 93/183		(65)		.279 1980				
	.52 1994 3-1				.716-715				(50)
-314	513		(66)	26 445					(51)
	.139	315			1985/3/26				
3	944		(67)	.361 30					
.1276		1958/5/24		563-561					(52)

.355	3				.139			(68)
3/417				(87)	1992/3/8	34	1454	(69)
			1950/2/23			451-450		
		.808			1953/1/18	5	543	
		984/160		(88)				
		.849	1987				.722 7	
1950/2/14	3	288		(89)	3-1		2003/526	(70)
							.79-75 2004	
			.369		952/3/18	3/764		(71)
316-305				(90)				
			121-120			19	37	(72)
			.140-139					
1953/5/10	6/465			(91)			.143	
					1986 6		85/29	(73)
553				(92)			.745	
.70			5				.93/51	(74)
	1996/4/23	96/51		(93)				
	.986					1997	1993	
	1963/3/23	1720		(94)	.1051-1050			
		.872			1975/2/15	1235		(75)
	1995/4/16	94/402		(95)	.890			
	.3107	1995			1999/12/16	1998/243		(76)
8-7		68/35		(96)		.116	1999	
		.544	1968		1953/3/26	5/147		(77)
10434		(69)		(97)	.757			
			1975/6/12		53/5/10	6/465		(78)
			.232				.1027	
.74-71				(98)	10 9		72/77	(79)
	.502			(99)			.1184	1972
Vedel, Op.Cit. P 193-194 :				(100)	5		79/89	(80)
	Debbasch, Op. Cit. P. 38-46						.611	1980
	215-214			(101)	38	318		(81)
	96						1996/12/21	
		.43-39					.725-724	
6/1399				(102)	3-1		68/42	(82)
.303		.1954/12/6					.59-56	1969
493				(103)			.719	(83)
.87		1949/2/9				1997/5/28	96/406	(84)
616				(104)				
		1954/1/21			1995/4/15	94/386	.986	
		.493				.1123		
305				(105)	1972/7/18	72/77		(85)
35		1989/11/25			.1185-1184	20	10 9	
		.300			1949/2/16	2/597		(86)

	.458	441		(120)				(106)
1952/1/24	4	11		(121)		.464-462		
		.377			2	71/49		(107)
1974/3/28	27	363		(122)		.124	1972	
					7	80/47		(108)
1980-1965						.1231	1981	
		.854	2		10	79/147		(109)
24		168		(123)		.1068	1980	
	.80	1		1980/11/23	1998	.1998/28		(110)
1992/12/8		37	1521	(124)			.4/445	
		.451				382		(111)
35		3472		(125)		.206		
873	46			1997/8/9		Carbajo, Op. Cit. P. 18-23		(112)
		721			159-142			
		1999/7/3	44	100		66-64		
.151-150	1999 ()	3					.34-28	
		2002/126		(126)		159-142		(113)
		2290-2286	2003	11-10			.66-64	
		.62					66/102	(114)
		.722-721		(127)	1987/1653	35		
1961/6/27	13	777		(128)		.593	1	
		.291	15		1993		92/83	(115)
.1791	1976	12-11	76/4	(129)			.1785	
	8	1235		(130)		.34		(116)
			1975/2/15			518		(117)
		.207				132		
		2002/223		(131)			.145-143	
		.115	2003	3-1		1993/6/16	93/67	(118)
-142				(132)		.34	1994	3-1
	125-124			143	2	3		(119)
		73-71					1956/11/28	
		.142-141				.204		
.2	2		1998					
			1981					:
						1998		
1			1969					
							1977	
			1996					
							2004	
		2000						1
						2003		

					...
			:		2002
					2000
					2003
			:		1987
1997	1993				2005
35					
.1		1987/1953		1	1989
					1998
				1	2002
					1978
					2
Aubey, Jean, 1984, Marie et Drgo, Roland. Traite de contentieux administratif, 3edt. Tom.1, LGD.J, Paris.					1988
Carbajo, 1980, Joel, L'Application dans le temps des decisions administratives executoires, LG.D.J., Paris.					.4 3
Debbasch, Charles, 1975, Contentieux administratif, Dalloz, Paris.					1986
Gabolde, Christain, 1981, La procedure des tribunaux administratif, 3edt, Dalloz, Paris.					1971
Odent, Raymond, 1957-1958, Contentieux administrative, Fascicule. 1, Paris.					
Vedel, Georges, 1976, Droit adminstratif, 6edt, P.U.F. Paris.					

**The Theory of Certain Knowledge in Administrative Jurisprudence and Judicature,
with Concentration on the Judicature of the Supreme Court of Justice:
(Comparative Study)**

*Khaled AL-Zubadie**

ABSTRACT

If the knowledge of administrative decisions can be achieved legally by publication and notification, the administrative judicature had added a new method known as "Certain Knowledge". Certain knowledge is achieved when the individual is accomplished to know the content of the administrative decision through certain presumptions even if then the administration did publish or notify the concerned party.

This study had been divided into two chapters. The first chapter examined the theory of "Certain Knowledge" and its conditions. The second chapter tackled the proof of certain knowledge. At the end of the research, some of the conclusions reached were indicated.

Keywords: Certain Knowledge, Administrative Judicature, Court of Justice.

* Faculty of Law, Al-Isr'a Private University, Amman, Jordan. Received on 24/8/2005 and Accepted for Publication on 11/5/2006.